

**Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of:)	
)	
Request for Review of the Decision of the)	
Universal Service Administrator by)	
)	
International Business Machines Corporation)	
)	
Federal-State Joint Board on)	
Universal Service)	
)	CC Docket No. 96-45
Changes to the Board of Directors of)	
National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc.)	CC Docket No. 97-21
)	
Schools and Libraries Universal Service)	CC Docket No. 02-6
Support Mechanism)	

**REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF THE DECISION OF THE UNIVERSAL
SERVICE ADMINISTRATOR BY INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES
CORPORATION REGARDING THE FUNDING REQUEST OF EL PASO
INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Clyde Rowe
Todd Hutchen
International Business Machines Corporation
6710 Rockledge Drive
Bethesda, MD 20817
301-803-2167

Suzanne Yelen
Peter J. McNulty
Wiley Rein & Fielding LLP
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
202-719-7000

Counsel for International Business Machines Corporation

May 9, 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I	Statement of Facts.....	1
II	Questions Presented for Review.....	4
A.	El Paso Complied with the Commissions’ Form 470 Process.....	5
B.	El Paso Followed Texas Procurement Law and Acted Consistent With the Policies and Objectives of the FCC in Choosing the Most Cost-Effective Vendor.....	7
C.	The Services El Paso Sought Were Properly Defined in the Form 470.....	10
III.	Request for Relief.....	11

SUMMARY

International Business Machines Corporation (“IBM”) seeks review of the Universal Service Administrative Company’s (“USAC”) denial of an E-Rate funding request for the El Paso Independent School (“El Paso”) for Year 5. El Paso selected IBM based on a competitive bidding process consistent with the Commission rules, SLD precedent, and state and local policies. El Paso issued a Form 470 for Funding Year 2002, did not issue a Request for Proposals (RFP) in 2002, and pursuant to established precedent for school districts with multi-year option contracts, El Paso concluded that IBM presented the best technology partner for the District and its students and renewed IBM’s contract.

None of the SLD’s funding denial reasons withstand scrutiny. First, El Paso selected IBM based solely on the FCC’s Form 470 in 2002. No RFP existed for 2002, so El Paso could not have relied on one. Because there was no RFP, El Paso correctly marked the Form 470 RFP box indicating that no RFP was available. Also, El Paso makes clear that both pricing discussions and a final agreement occurred prior to its Board voting to renew IBM’s contract. Thus, SLD is wrong in asserting that El Paso did not fix prices for 2002 until after it chose IBM.

Second, when El Paso engaged in its original competitive bidding process for Funding Year 2001, it followed state and federal procurement laws. Commission precedent confirms that these processes are presumed to result in the selection of the most cost-effective vendor. SLD cannot override that determination.

Contrary to the SLD’s assertions, El Paso examined vendors based on several factors with a primary factor being price. El Paso’s 2001 RFP confirms that price was a major factor considered and that vendors responding to the RFP were on notice of that fact. El Paso’s two-

step process, in accordance with Texas law, ensured that it signed a contract with the most cost-effective vendor available.

Finally, SLD alleges that the District's list of requested services lacked the required specificity, yet it approved a number of applications with the same level of detail. The Commission should not countenance this type of disparate treatment.

IBM respectfully requests the Commission to order the SLD to approve El Paso's applications and fully fund its initiative to bring education-enhancing technology to needy children.

**Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of:)	
)	
Request for Review of the Decision of the)	
Universal Service Administrator by)	
)	
International Business Machines Corporation)	
)	
Federal-State Joint Board on)	
Universal Service)	
)	CC Docket No. 96-45
Changes to the Board of Directors of)	
National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc.)	CC Docket No. 97-21
)	
Schools and Libraries Universal Service)	CC Docket No. 02-6
Support Mechanism)	

**REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF THE DECISION OF THE UNIVERSAL
SERVICE ADMINISTRATOR BY INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES
CORPORATION REGARDING THE FUNDING REQUEST OF EL PASO
INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT**

International Business Machines Corporation (“IBM”), pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 54.719(c), hereby submits its Request for Review of the Universal Service Administrator’s Funding Decision regarding El Paso Independent School District (“El Paso”). IBM is an interested and aggrieved party because it is the service provider selected by El Paso to provide internal connections and Internet access (“IC/IA Projects”) during Funding Year 5 under Form 471 Application number 318522.¹

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

El Paso is an independent school district located in El Paso County, Texas. Pursuant to a longstanding technology plan, El Paso participated in the E-Rate program from Year 1 through

¹ See 47 C.F.R. § 54.721(b)(1).

Year 4 and sought to continue participating in Year 5 (“Funding Year 2002”). For Funding Year 2002, El Paso sought E-Rate funding, in pertinent part, for internal connections and Internet access services. IBM sought to provide those services in accordance with a contract originally entered into during Year 4 (“Funding Year 2001”).

In December 2000, El Paso posted a Form 470 for Funding Year 2001² on the Universal Service Administrative Company, Schools and Libraries Division (“SLD”) website according to E-Rate Program rules. El Paso also issued a Request for Proposal (RFP) in December 2000 detailing El Paso’s requirements and describing the form and length of the prospective contract. The RFP clearly stated that El Paso anticipated the contractual relationship to last for five years.³ This would include a contract for the first year, and four renewal option years to follow, “with each option year to be awarded annually as a separate follow-on contract based on the previous year’s performance.”⁴ The decision to renew would be at the sole discretion of El Paso.⁵ The RFP also stated that selection as the most qualified applicant by El Paso would lead to contract negotiations lasting up to 30 days.⁶ If those negotiations failed to lead to an acceptable contract, El Paso would break off negotiations and contact the next most qualified applicant.⁷

² Appended hereto as Attachment 1.

³ El Paso Independent School District, *Request for Proposals for Strategic Technology Solution Provider*, RFP #101-00 at 1 (December 1, 2000) (“2001 RFP”) (Appended hereto as Attachment 2).

⁴ *Id.*

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ *Id.* at 3.

⁷ *Id.*

The 2001 RFP was sent to eleven companies that requested a copy, eight of whom responded before the December 19, 2000 deadline.⁸ The eight applicants consisted of sophisticated entities, several of which are among the largest communications providers in the country. They were: IBM; Amherst Computer; Diversified Technical Services; Kent Data Communications; ESEI; Southwestern Bell; Time Warner Cable; and Cervantes CC.

The RFP noted that “cost is a major consideration” and required each applicant to provide a proposed pricing model.⁹ El Paso described price as a “major criterion” and stated that “[i]t cannot be overestimated how important this criterion is to the potential success of any prospective bidders....”¹⁰ Vendors also needed to demonstrate other attributes including: a commitment to K-12 education; breadth, depth, and quality of resources that could be brought to bear on the project; project management experience with large schools; and significant overall experience and financial stability.¹¹

As El Paso explains in its Appeal, an evaluation committee carefully examined the RFP responses of the eight competitors, decided IBM was the most qualified applicant, and recommended IBM to the Board of Trustees of El Paso. Subsequently, on January 9, 2001, the Board of Trustees selected IBM as the top candidate and the two sides opened intensive negotiations. The parties subsequently entered into a contract with a one-year term and an option for El Paso to renew for two additional one-year terms.

⁸ *Request for Review of El Paso Independent School District*, CC Docket No. 96-45 (May 9, 2003) (“El Paso Appeal”).

⁹ 2001 RFP at 6-7.

¹⁰ *Id.* at 7.

¹¹ *Id.* at 3-7.

On November 26, 2001, El Paso posted a Form 470 on the SLD website for Funding Year 2002.¹² According to El Paso, the school district wanted to inquire into any additional vendor interest in providing internal connection or Internet access services, and to ensure that renewing the IBM contract would be cost-effective and advisable. It did *not* issue an RFP for Funding Year 2002, and received no response that it would term “sufficient” to prompt non-renewal.¹³ Consequently, El Paso “conducted an internal review of IC/IA Projects for Funding Year 2002 and discussed pricing in detail with IBM prior to its decision to renew the contract.”¹⁴ El Paso’s Board of Trustees voted to renew IBM’s contract on January 8, 2002. The two sides continued negotiating and entered into a contract for Funding Year 2002 in January 2002.

El Paso received the SLD Decisions denying El Paso’s application on March 10, 2003. According to El Paso, the five reasons given for the SLD’s denial of the application are that: (1) El Paso failed to mark that there was an RFP on its Funding Year 2002 Form 470; (2) El Paso used an RFP and not its Form 470 to decide on a service provider for Funding Year 2002; (3) prices for Funding Year 2002 were set only after IBM’s selection; (4) El Paso did not use price as a consideration in selecting IBM; and (5) El Paso’s Form 470 did not describe and define specific goods and services being requested prior to IBM’s selection.

II. QUESTIONS PRESENTED FOR REVIEW

El Paso fully complied with the Federal Communications Commission’s (“FCC” or “Commission”) Form 470 posting requirements, and conducted a fully competitive bid process according the state and federal law, and Commission rules. As shown below, El Paso chose IBM

¹² Appended hereto as Attachment 3.

¹³ El Paso Appeal.

¹⁴ *Id.*

because IBM emerged as the most cost-effective choice to supply internal connections and Internet access to the underprivileged children of El Paso County, Texas.

A. EL PASO COMPLIED WITH THE COMMISSIONS' FORM 470 PROCESS

As El Paso explains in its Appeal, no RFP exists for Funding Year 2002.¹⁵ El Paso asserts that while it posted a Form 470 for Funding Year 2002 to the SLD website in November 2001, it never issued an RFP. Therefore, El Paso was correct when it checked the “No” box for questions 9 and 10 on Form 470 verifying that it did not have an RFP specifying its request for internal connections and Internet access service. Additionally this proves that El Paso could not have relied upon an RFP for its decision to choose IBM as its service provider. Form 470 was the only document in existence with which El Paso could solicit information and on which it could base its decision. Thus, El Paso’s conduct complied with the Commission’s Form 470 process.

As explained in more detail below, El Paso originally selected IBM to provide internal connections and Internet access services via an FCC-compliant, competitive process focusing on finding the most cost-effective vendor for Funding Year 2001. El Paso posted a Form 470, issued an RFP, solicited eight competitive bids, and selected IBM in a process that complied with state and federal law and Commission precedent. The RFP clearly put bidders on notice that the contract would be multi-year, beginning with a one-year contract followed by multiple option years.¹⁶ Most tellingly, El Paso’s competitive selection process led to it receiving funding from SLD for Funding Year 2001.

¹⁵ *Id.*

¹⁶ 2001 RFP at 1. The final contract contains two option years. El Paso Appeal.

El Paso went out of its way to assure its contract remained competitive for Funding Year 2002 by posting a new Form 470 on the SLD's website, despite having no duty to do so.¹⁷ According to the Florida Decision, school systems that post a service request on the SLD website and successfully undertake a competitive bidding process may sign contracts with renewal options with service providers.¹⁸ Also, the school systems may exercise the renewal options on those contracts without any additional service request postings.¹⁹ El Paso's only duty upon undertaking a fresh posting was to carefully consider any bona fide responses to its Form 470.²⁰

However, according to El Paso, it received no responses sufficient to convince it not to renew the IBM contract. Lacking new proposals, El Paso "conducted an internal review of IC/IA Projects for Funding Year 2002 and discussed pricing in detail with IBM prior to its decision to renew the contract. In fact, the pricing for the IC/IA Projects for Funding Year 2002 had been agreed upon by IBM and [El Paso], before the vote to renew the IBM relationship by the Board of Trustees of [El Paso] and before the effective date of the 2002 contract."²¹

Considering El Paso's position as the holder of options on a multi-year contract, it went the extra mile to ensure its contract situation remained competitive and cost-effective. Its actions in Funding Year 2002 complied not only with state and federal procurement regulations, but followed the letter of the Commission's rules and decisions.

¹⁷ See *Federal-State Board on Universal Service*, 15 FCC Rcd 6732, ¶ 12 (Sept. 1, 1999) ("Florida Decision").

¹⁸ *Id.*, ¶ 10.

¹⁹ *Id.*

²⁰ *Id.*, ¶ 12.

²¹ El Paso Appeal.

B. EL PASO FOLLOWED TEXAS PROCUREMENT LAW AND ACTED CONSISTENT WITH THE POLICIES AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COMMISSION IN CHOOSING THE MOST COST-EFFECTIVE VENDOR.

Under well-established FCC precedent, state and local procurement officials enjoy “‘*maximum flexibility*’ to take service quality into account and to choose the offering . . . that meets their needs ‘most effectively and efficiently.’”²² Commission rules and decisions have consistently emphasized that state and local procurement officials must select the most cost-effective alternative, taking into account price, quality, and other relevant factors, and that determinations by those officials must be presumed proper absent evidence to the contrary.²³

The FCC has also emphasized cost-effectiveness in specifically upholding an SLD decision granting funding for Internet access services by a provider, even when the provider’s bid was arguably higher than the bid submitted by the protesting party.²⁴ In *Request for Review by the Department of Education of the State of Tennessee of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator*, the Commission explained that “[i]nterpreting the Commission’s competitive bid rules as requiring schools to select the lowest bid with little regard for the quality of the services necessary to achieve technology goals would obviate the ‘maximum flexibility’

²² Universal Service Order, 12 FCC Rcd at 9029-30, ¶ 481 (emphasis added).

²³ See Letter to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, from R. Michael Senkowski, Wiley Rein & Fielding LLP, White Paper – *Review of Federal, State of Texas, and FCC E-Rate Procurement Laws and Regulations* (“White Paper”) at 36 (filed Apr. 24, 2003) (citing 47 C.F.R. § 54.511(a) (expressly authorizing state and local procurement officials to “consider relevant factors other than the pre-discount prices submitted by providers”)); Universal Service Order, 12 FCC Rcd at 9029-30, ¶ 481 (in addition to price, prior experience, past performance, personnel qualifications, technical excellence, and management capabilities are factors that form a “reasonable basis” for evaluating whether an offer is cost-effective)).

²⁴ *Request for Review by the Department of Education of the State of Tennessee of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator*, 14 FCC Rcd 13734, 13738, ¶ 8 n.22 (1999) (“Tennessee Order”).

the Commission expressly afforded schools.’’²⁵ The Commission further emphasized that to give schools “maximum flexibility”, quality must also be considered.²⁶

The Tennessee Order further explained that, absent contrary evidence, state procurement processes can be relied upon to select the most cost-effective bid and that schools have strong incentives to select the most cost-effective bid.²⁷ The Commission stated:

[E]ven in those instances when schools do not have established competitive bid procurement processes, the Administrator generally need not make a separate finding that a school has selected *the most cost-effective bid*. Such a finding is not generally necessary because a school has an *incentive to select the most cost-effective bid*, even apart from any procurement requirements, because it must pay its pro rata share of the cost of the services requested.²⁸

The FCC emphasis on overall cost-effectiveness mirrors the principle of best value in Texas and Federal procurement law, which El Paso followed in selecting IBM as the most cost effective vendor. The Texas Education Code clearly requires Texas school districts to follow a “best value” procurement system to determine a contract award.²⁹

Texas procurement law also allows school districts to use a two-step approach to procure professional and technical services.³⁰ A school district (1) may select the most highly qualified service provider, and then (2) may attempt to negotiate with that provider and get a fair and

²⁵ *Id.* at 13738, ¶8.

²⁶ *Id.*

²⁷ *Id.* at 13739, ¶ 10.

²⁸ *Id.* (emphasis added).

²⁹ *See* TEX. EDUC. CODE § 44.031(a). The general “best value” approach and the specific “two-step” procurement process for acquiring professional services are modeled on the federal government’s procurement laws and consistent with the ABA Model Procurement Code for State and Local Governments (“MPC”). *See* White Paper at 13.

³⁰ *See* White Paper at 15 (citing MPC § 44.031(f) (citing TEX. GOV’T CODE § 2254.003)).

reasonable price.³¹ If the district cannot reach a satisfactory agreement with the most qualified provider, it ends negotiations and moves on to the next most qualified provider until the most cost-effective contract possible is executed.³²

El Paso renewed IBM's contract for Funding Year 2002 in accordance with procedures the Commission laid out in the Florida Decision.³³ As mentioned above, El Paso discussed price in depth with IBM and decided on pricing prior to contract renewal. The contract was then finalized.

El Paso used the two-step process in accordance with Texas and federal procurement law to find the best value and sign the most cost-effective contract it could for Funding Year 2001. The 2001 RFP states that upon evaluation a Letter of Intent would be issued to the "most qualified firm as determined by El Paso...."³⁴ As mentioned above, there were several criteria including commitment to K-12 education, breadth, depth, and quality of resources that could be brought to bear on the project, project management experience with large schools, and significant overall experience and financial stability.³⁵ However, El Paso emphasized price heavily. Vendors were admonished that "cost is a major consideration," price is a "major factor," and informed that "[i]t cannot be over emphasized how important this criterion [price] is

³¹ See White Paper at 16 (citing TEX. GOV'T CODE § 2254.004(a)).

³² *Id.* (citing TEX. GOV'T CODE § 2254.004(b-c)).

³³ *Supra* 5-6.

³⁴ 2001 RFP at 3.

³⁵ *Id.* at 3-7.

to the potential success of any prospective bidders....”³⁶ In fact, El Paso required each vendor to include a pricing model as part of its phase one application.³⁷

Once El Paso identified the most qualified vendor, a 30-day negotiation period would start.³⁸ If a cost-effective contract could be negotiated that represented the best value El Paso could get, it would sign the first contract. If an acceptable contract could not be negotiated, El Paso would contact the next most qualified candidate and attempt to negotiate a better deal.³⁹ Pursuant to this process El Paso negotiated with IBM and asserts that it signed the most cost-effective contract possible.

In keeping with the Tennessee Order, El Paso asserts it has followed state and federal procurement laws to the letter, and acted in keeping with Commission rules. To hold against El Paso in this instance would constitute a repudiation of the Tennessee Order. This should not be done at all, let alone retroactively.⁴⁰ El Paso should not be penalized for a rule change that is not in place before it filed its applications for funding.⁴¹

C. THE SERVICES EL PASO SOUGHT WERE PROPERLY DEFINED IN THE FORM 470.

SLD alleges that El Paso provided inadequate detail as to the services requested. This is inaccurate. El Paso provided a “summary description” of services as requested in Block 2 of the

³⁶ *Id.* at 6-7.

³⁷ *Id.* at 7.

³⁸ *Id.* at 3.

³⁹ *Id.*

⁴⁰ *See Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Williamsburg-James City County Public Schools, Williamsburg, VA*, 14 FCC Rcd 20152 at ¶ 6 (October 15, 1999) (holding that where a school district did not know of a rule change prior to submitting its application, the section affected is entitled to full funding).

⁴¹ *Id.*

Form 470. For instance, El Paso indicated the particular types of telecommunication services requested and the quantity of services: *i.e.*, basic telephone service (POTS, Centrex, trunk) for 95 sites + 5 additional locations. On the IC/IA side, El Paso requested high bandwidth service (56kb/s, ISDN, DSL, Frame Relay, fractional T-1, DS-1, DS-3, OC-3, ATM, satellite, MAN, WAN, LAN interconnect) for 95 sites + 5 new.

This approach of listing a broad overview of potential services in the Form 470 is consistent with level of detail included in several applications the SLD funded this year. For instance, Denver School District 1 sought “basic telephone service” for “146 sites”; San Francisco Unified School District sought “basic telephone service (POTS, Centrex, trunk)” for “up to 150 locations”; Kansas City School District sought “local and long distance services” for “all 80+ schools plus admin”; St. Louis School District sought “local and long distance svcs” for “113 schools plus admin”; and the Houston Independent School District sought “basic telephone service (POTS, Centrex) for “350 buildings.”⁴² None of the applicants described above referred to an RFP. Thus, these applicants, none of whom selected IBM as their service provider, had similar descriptions of services as El Paso, but they were granted SLD funding.⁴³ The Commission should not sanction such arbitrary and capricious treatment.

III. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

IBM respectfully requests that the Commission order SLD to fund fully El Paso’s E-Rate application. Additionally, IBM urges the Commission to expedite the appeals of El Paso and other similarly situated school districts in order to ensure the shortest possible wait for the

⁴² The Funding Year 2002 Form 470s and E-Rate Funding Commitments are appended hereto as Attachment 4.

⁴³ Moreover, the services described in the Form 470 were sufficiently detailed to allow service providers to contact El Paso for additional information.

schoolchildren in need of the requested IC/IA services. In cases such as these, delays translate directly into lost learning opportunities.

Respectfully submitted,

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES

By: /s/ Clyde Rowe
Clyde Rowe
Todd Hutchen
International Business Machines Corporation
6710 Rockledge Drive
Bethesda, MD 20817
301-803-2167

By: /s/ Suzanne Yelen
Suzanne Yelen
Peter J. McNulty
Wiley Rein & Fielding, LLP
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
202-719-7000

Its Attorneys

May 9, 2003

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Peter J. McNulty, hereby declare that copies of the foregoing were delivered by U.S. mail or through ECFS, this day, May 9, 2003, to the following:

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
TWA-A325
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Clyde A. Pine, Jr.
Mounce, Green, Myers, Safi & Galatzan
P.O. Drawer 1977
El Paso, Texas 79950-1977

D. Scott Barash
Vice President and General Counsel
Universal Service Administrative Company
2120 L Street, NW
Suite 600
Washington, DC 20037

/s/ Peter J. McNulty
Peter J. McNulty

Attachment 1

**El Paso Independent School District
Form 470 for Funding Year 2001**

FCC Form

Approval by OMB
3060-0806**470**

Schools and Libraries Universal Service Description of Services Requested and Certification Form

Estimated Average Burden Hours Per Response: 5.0 hours

This form is designed to help you describe the eligible telecommunications-related services you seek so that this data can be posted on the Fund Administrator website and interested service providers can identify you as a potential customer and compete to serve you.

Please read instructions before completing.

(To be completed by entity that will negotiate with providers.)

Block 1: Applicant Address and Identifications

(School, library, or consortium desiring Universal Service funding.)

Form 470 Application Number: 278530000321216

Applicant's Form Identifier: Yr4-InternalConn.

Application Status: CERTIFIED

Posting Date: 12/04/2000

Allowable Contract Date: 01/01/2001

Certification Received Date: 12/05/2000

1. Name of Applicant: EL PASO INDEP SCHOOL DISTRICT			
2. Funding Year: 07/01/2001 - 06/30/2002		3. Your Entity Number 142118	
4. Applicant's Street Address, P.O.Box, or Route Number			
a. Street 120 N. Stanton			
City EL PASO	State TX	Zip Code 5Digit 79901	Zip Code 4Digit
b. Telephone number (915) 834- 5289		c. Fax number (915) 834- 6747	
d. E-mail Address dlsingh@episd.org			
5. Type Of Applicant (Check only one box)			
<input type="radio"/> Library (including library system, library branch, or library consortium applying as a library)			
<input type="radio"/> Individual School (individual public or non-public school)			
<input checked="" type="radio"/> School District (LEA; public or non-public[e.g., diocesan] local district representing multiple schools)			
<input type="radio"/> Consortium (intermediate service agencies, states, state networks, special consortia)			
6a. Contact Person's Name: Dianna Singh			
6b. Street Address, P.O.Box, or Route Number (if different from Item 4)			

120 N. Stanton			
City EL PASO	State TX	Zip Code 5Digit 79901	Zip Code 4Digit
6c. Telephone Number (10 digits + ext.) (915) 834- 5289			
6d. Fax Number (10 digits) (915) 834- 6747			
6e. E-mail Address (50 characters max.) dlsingh@episd.org			

Block 2: Summary Description of Needs or Services Requested

7 This Form 470 describes (check all that apply):

- a. ☐ Tariffed services - telecommunications services, purchased at regulated prices, for which the applicant has no signed, written contract. A new Form 470 must be filed for tariffed services for each funding year.
- b. ☐ Month-to-month services for which the applicant has no signed, written contract. A new Form 470 must be filed for these services for each funding year.
- c. ☒ Services for which a new written contract is sought for the funding year in Item 2.
- d. ☐ A multi-year contract signed on or before 7/10/97 but for which no Form 470 has been filed in a previous program year.

NOTE: Services that are covered by a qualified contract for all or part of the funding year in Item 2 do NOT require filing of Form 470. A qualified contract is a signed, written contract executed pursuant to posting a Form 470 in a previous program year OR a contract signed on/before 7/10/97 and reported on a Form 470 in a previous year as an existing contract.

8 ☐ Telecommunications Services

Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?

- a. ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at _____ or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.
- b. ☐ NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Telecommunications Services you seek. Specify each **service or function (e.g., local voice service) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., 20 existing lines plus 10 new ones). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Telecommunications Services, and remember that only common carrier telecommunications companies can provide these services under the universal service support mechanism. Add additional lines if needed.**

9 ☐ Internet Access

Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?

- a. ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at _____ or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.
- b. ☐ NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Internet Access Services you seek. Specify each **service or function (e.g., monthly Internet service) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., for 500 users). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for**

examples of eligible Internet Access Services. Add additional lines if needed.

10 ☒ Internal Connections

Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?

a ☐ **YES**, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at _____ or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.

b ☒ **NO**, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Internal Connections Services you seek. Specify each **service or function** (e.g., local area network) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., connecting 10 rooms and 300 computers at 56Kbps or better). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Internal Connections Services. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
Wiring	93 sites approx.
Routers, servers, switches, hubs, and upgrades	93 sites approx.
PBX, KSU, ARS, Console, components and upgrades	93 sites approx.
Video CODEC, MCU, MPEG encoder, multimedia kit, PVBX, video group and desktop equipment	93 sites approx.
Maintenance/Installation, on-site technical support, documentation	93 sites approx.
Wireless Service	90 sites approx.
Video equipment	93 sites approx.
ATM Equipment	93 sites approx.
Hardware and upgrades for internal connections	93 sites approx.
Internal Connection components	93 sites approx.
Operational software and upgrades, e-mail software	93 sites approx.

11 (Optional) Please name the person on your staff or project who can provide additional technical details or answer specific questions from service providers about the services you are seeking. This need not be the contact person listed in Item 6 nor the signer of this form.

Name: **Jack Johnston** Title: **Administrator, Application Development**

Telephone number (10 digits +)
(915) 834 - 5186

Fax number
(915) 834 -

E-mail Address (50 characters max.)
jack.johnston@episd.org

12. ☐ Check here if there are any restrictions imposed by state or local laws or regulations on how or when providers may contact you or on other bidding procedures. Please describe below any such restrictions or procedures, and/or give Web address where they are posted.

13. (Optional) Purchases in future years: If you have plans to purchase additional services in future years, or expect to seek new contracts for existing services, summarize below (including the likely

time-frames).

Block 3: Technology Assessment

14. ☐ **Basic telephone service only:** If your application is for basic local and long distance voice telephone service only, check this box and skip to Item 16.
15. Although the following services and facilities are ineligible for support, they are usually necessary to make effective use of the eligible services requested in this application. Unless you indicated in Item 14 that your application is ONLY for basic telephone service, you must check at least one box in (a) through (e). You may provide details for purchases being sought.
- a. Desktop communications software: Software required ☒ has been purchased; and/or ☐ is being sought.
- b. Electrical systems: ☒ adequate electrical capacity is in place or has already been arranged; and/or ☒ upgrading for additional electrical capacity is being sought.
- c. Computers: a sufficient quantity of computers ☒ has been purchased; and/or ☒ is being sought.
- d. Computer hardware maintenance: adequate arrangements ☐ have been made; and/or ☒ are being sought.
- e. Staff development: ☒ all staff have had an appropriate level of training or additional training has already been scheduled; and/or ☒ training is being sought.
- f. Additional details: Use this space to provide additional details to help providers to identify the services you desire.

Block 4: Recipients of Service

16. Eligible Entities That Will Receive Service:

Check the ONE choice that best describes this application and the eligible entities that will receive the services described in this application.

You must select a state if (b) or (c) is selected: **TX**

a. ☐ **Individual school or single-site library:** Check here, and enter the billed entity in Item 17.

b. ☐ **Statewide application (check all that apply):**

- ☐ All public schools/districts in the state:
- ☐ All non-public schools in the state:
- ☐ All libraries in the state:

If your statewide application includes INELIGIBLE entities, check here. ☐ If checked, complete Item 18.

c. ☒ **School district, library system, or consortium application to serve multiple eligible sites:**

Number of eligible sites	95
<i>For these eligible sites, please provide the following</i>	
Area Codes (list each unique area code)	Prefixes associated with each area code (first 3 digits of phone number) separate with commas, leave no spaces
915	351, 496, 532, 533, 534, 542, 543, 544, 545, 54
If your application includes INELIGIBLE entities, check here. <input type="checkbox"/> If checked, complete Item	

17. Billed Entities

Entity Name	Entity Number
EL PASO INDEP SCHOOL DISTRICT	142118

18. Ineligible Entities

Ineligible Participating Entity	Entity Number	Area Code	Prefix
---------------------------------	---------------	-----------	--------

Block 5: Certification**19. The applicant includes:(Check one or both)**

- a. ☒ schools under the statutory definitions of elementary and secondary schools found in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, 20 U.S.C. Secs. 8801(14) and (25), that do not operate as for-profit businesses, and do not have endowments exceeding \$50 million; and/or
- b. ☐ libraries or library consortia eligible for assistance from a State library administrative agency under the Library Services and Technology Act of 1996 that do not operate as for-profit businesses and whose budgets are completely separate from any school (including, but not limited to) elementary and secondary schools, colleges and universities.

20. All of the individual schools, libraries, and library consortia receiving services under this application are covered by:

- a. ☐ individual technology plans for using the services requested in the application
- b. ☒ higher-level technology plans for using the services requested in the application
- c. ☐ no technology plan needed; application requests basic local and long distance telephone service only.

21. Status of technology plans (if representing multiple entities with mixed technology plan status, check both a and b):

- a. ☐ technology plan(s) has/have been approved by a state or other authorized body.
- b. ☒ technology plan(s) will be approved by a state or other authorized body.
- c. ☐ no technology plan needed; application requests basic local and long distance telephone service only.

22. ☒ I certify that the services the applicant purchases at discounts provided by 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254 will be used solely for educational purposes and will not be sold, resold, or transferred in consideration for money or any other thing of value.

23. ☒ I recognize that support under this support mechanism is conditional upon the school(s) or library(ies) I represent securing access to all of the resources, including computers, training, software, maintenance, and electrical connections necessary to use the services purchased effectively.

24. ☒ I certify that I am authorized to submit this request on behalf of the above-named entities, that I have examined this request, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, all statements of fact contained herein are true.

25. Signature of authorized person: ☒

26. Date (mm/dd/yyyy): 12/04/2000

27. Printed name of authorized person: Dianna Singh

28. Title or position of authorized person: Support Specialist

29. Telephone number of authorized person: (915) 834 - 5289 ext.

New Search

Return To Search Results

Attachment 2

**El Paso Independent School District
Request for Proposals for Funding Year 2001**



REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

FOR

STRATEGIC TECHNOLOGY SOLUTION PROVIDER

RFP #101-00

December 1, 2000

Technology and Information Systems
120 North Stanton Street
El Paso, Texas 79901-1442

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	GENERAL SCOPE AND PURPOSE OF PROCUREMENT	1
2.0	GENERAL INFORMATION	2
2.1	ELIGIBLE OFFERORS	2
2.2	RESPONSE FORMAT	2
2.3	LEGAL APPROACH	2
3.0	SELECTION PROCESS.....	2
3.1	SUBMISSION OF WRITTEN QUALIFICATIONS	2
3.2	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS OF EACH OFFEROR.....	2
3.3	ORAL INTERVIEW	2
3.4	SELECTION OF THE STRATEGIC TECHNOLOGY PARTNER	3
3.5	METHODOLOGY FOR MEASURING RESULTS.....	3
4.0	EPISD BACKGROUND	3
5.0	CRITERIA FOR SELECTION FOR A STRATEGIC TECHNOLOGY PARTNER	3
5.1	AVAILABILITY AND QUALITY OF RESOURCES.....	3
5.2	STAFF DEVELOPMENT AND TRAINING	4
5.3	PROJECT MANAGEMENT/SYSTEMS INTEGRATION.....	4
5.4	TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS	5
5.5	COMMITMENT TO K-12 EDUCATION.....	6
5.6	FUNDING CONSIDERATIONS.....	6
5.7	PRICING MODEL AND COST ASSURANCES	6
5.8	OTHER VENDOR ATTRIBUTES.....	7
6.0	PROPOSAL EVALUATION MODEL.....	8

Strategic Technology Solution Provider Request for Proposal

1.0 General Scope and Purpose of Procurement

The purpose of this RFP is to select a strategic technology partner with the competencies, expertise and resources necessary to assist El Paso ISD (EPISD) in effectively infusing technology throughout the school district. The technology infusion should result in significantly improved student achievement, and improved administrative practices in support of teaching and learning.

EPISD is looking for a single vendor to assist the District's Technology and Information Systems (TIS) unit with the implementation of technology at both a technical and financial level.

The EPISD wishes to enter into a long-term strategic partnership with a technology provider for the purpose of implementing, refining and supporting a state-of-the-art technology infrastructure that will provide world-class technology to the students and staff of EPISD. The selected provider will work under the direction and supervision of the executive director for TIS.

This Strategic Technology Partnership agreement will include, but not be limited to, E-rate funded projects. The selected vendor should be prepared to assist the District with all aspects of the E-rate process and should demonstrate knowledge and experience in dealing with E-rate funded projects. All E-rate applications will be submitted using the successful bidder's single SPIN number. Vendors must provide their SPIN number as part of their response.

It is anticipated that the term of this partnership will be for a period of five (5) years, which will include a contract for the first year. There will be four (4) renewal option years with each option year to be awarded annually as a separate follow-on contract based on the previous year's performance. The decision to award an option year shall be based on the availability of funding for the fiscal year under consideration, school system needs, and vendor performance. The decision will be at the sole discretion of El Paso ISD. The performance of the technology provider on the previous year's scope of work will be measured against the Methodology for Measuring Results described in Section 3.0, Selection Process, of this document.

The work itself will consist of all aspects of technology implementation for which El Paso ISD desires to contract with the partner. The current technology program calls for the installation of new technology equipment, software and services on an on-going basis.

2.0 General Information

2.1 Eligible Offerors

Eligible offerors are any firms that are major technology implementation providers and can demonstrate the required experience, financial stability, necessary human resources and flexibility of service necessary to address the District's requirement.

2.2 Response Format

Each response will be reviewed to determine if it is complete before evaluation. Responses not containing the information requested will not be considered. Responses will be evaluated according to the material and substantiating evidence presented.

2.3 Legal Approach

Include a sample contract and all other documents that would become a part of the final contract.

3.0 Selection Process

3.1 Submission of Written Qualifications

El Paso ISD will review and evaluate the responses to the RFP in accordance with the qualification evaluation criteria identified herein.

3.2 General Requirements of Each Offeror

- Adherence to the requirements as provided as attachments to this RFP by EPISD Purchasing
- Provide audited financial statements for the last two fiscal years

3.3 Oral Interview

El Paso ISD reserves the right to conduct oral interviews with a select short list of firms to fully discuss their qualifications for this project and to answer questions posed by El Paso ISD staff. A final selection will be based upon the evaluation of the written response, oral interviews (if conducted) and a review of available references of the offeror. If an oral interview is required, notification will be provided to each finalist a minimum of five (5) days before the scheduled interview.

3.4 Selection of the Strategic Technology Partner

El Paso ISD will issue a Letter of Intent (LOI) to the most qualified firm as determined by El Paso ISD, for this project. Under the LOI the recommended firm and EPISD will negotiate a contract detailing commitments, guarantees, methodology for measuring results, termination procedures, fee structure, and any other legal requirement necessary to execute a contract. If an acceptable contract cannot be reached with the selected offeror within thirty (30) days from the date of the Letter of Intent, then the next highest ranked offeror may be contacted.

3.5 Methodology for Measuring Results

Particular attention should be paid to the development of your methodology for Measuring Results. This will provide the basis of evaluation for award or non-award of the follow-on option years under this contract. Additionally, your Methodology for Measuring Results must be sure to include those concerns detailed in **Section 5.7 Pricing Model and Cost Assurances.**

4.0 EPISD Background

El Paso ISD serves approximately 63,000 students. It is the 5th largest district in the State of Texas and has 55 elementary, 15 middle, 10 high schools, and 7 special purpose schools for a total of 87 school sites.

El Paso ISD presently uses a hub-and-spoke wide area network (WAN) configuration utilizing T-1 lines leased from Southwestern Bell. The hub is located at Education Center Boeing, 6531 Boeing Drive.

5.0 Criteria for Selection of a Strategic Technology Partner

El Paso ISD has identified the factors itemized in sections 5.1 to section 5.7 as critical to a company's ability to effectively assist El Paso ISD infuse technology and better prepare students to be successful citizens and productive workers in the 21st century. To be considered for evaluation, companies must provide relevant responses to all sections of this RFP with particular attention to sections 5.1 - 5.7.

5.1 Availability and Quality of Resources

El Paso ISD (EPISD) is seeking a strategic technology partner that has the depth, breadth and quality of resources necessary to complete all phases of a broad technology and services project. In addition, the timely availability

of these resources and related support elements will be critical to project success.

- Describe the various resources from your company that will be made available to assist EPISD in the execution of its mission.
- Indicate the availability of each resource, e.g., full-time, part-time, or as required.
- Indicate the availability of local resources to support EPISD.
- Indicate the anticipated use of out-of-town resources to support EPISD.
- Provide sample resumes and related experience summaries to demonstrate the competencies and experience of typical personnel who would be assigned to the EPISD program.

5.2 Staff Development and Training

EPISD recognizes that a Staff Development plan, specifically designed to meet the needs and requirements of the school district, is essential to the success and growth of students, teachers and administrators.

A comprehensive staff development plan can offer services that will meet the needs of technology implementation. Describe how your company would implement an effective staff development and training program for EPISD. Include a description of the training materials or training manual that would be produced and provided to EPISD for future use in a "train the trainer" model. Also, describe your approach for class composition, class size, training location, instructors, scheduling, registration, etc.

5.3 Project Management/Systems Integration.

EPISD requires a project management function as part of the services delivered by the strategic technology partner. Service providers must describe their competencies to manage and coordinate project activities, resources, and communications. Service providers must address their capabilities in areas to include but not limited to:

- Project management methodologies and tools
- Project planning and communications
- Quality assurance procedures
- Project management experience with large school districts (50,000+ full time students)
- Project management experience as a single vendor or "prime" systems integrator
- Project change control
- Methodology for measuring results

The strategic technology partner will work closely with the EPISD project manager to ensure successful project delivery, effective management of project resources and efficient communications between the service provider and EPISD.

EPISD requires System Implementation services to be included as part of those delivered by the strategic technology partner. EPISD's continued transition to an integrated technology approach and migration to new technologies will require the selected provider to take an enterprise focus throughout project delivery. Service providers must describe their approach to systems integration and capabilities to provide these services with large school districts (50,000+ full time students).

5.4 Technology Solutions

EPISD requires a network that will continue to provide the District with a modern, efficient and reliable network to support data and will eventually provide voice and video information transfer capabilities within and external to the district buildings. Reliability and high performance are key requirements of this networking plan, as the EPISD network has begun and will continue to migrate to the base which must support the technology needs of the future. This networking requirement includes, but not limited to, the following functional components:

- Physical Infrastructure Plans for building wiring, fiber optic distribution (or leasing), wiring closets, patch panels, etc.
- Logical network designs such as switches, routers, gateways, etc. including routings, protocols carried (LAN and WAN), and rationales for such selections.
- Network and Distributed Systems management approach
- Video distribution plans, including coaxial cable, fiber optics, studio equipment, switching and distribution equipment, satellite down links, and operational requirements.
- Installation of Hardware and Support
- Asset management support for leased and purchased items.
- Intranet and Internet access.
- User training required to integrate these technologies into the curriculum and administrative processes

Please describe your approach, qualifications, and industry experience in the design and implementation of these network requirements in large school districts.

5.5 Commitment to K-12 Education

The responding providers must demonstrate a commitment to the K-12 education market. EPISD is interested in providers that understand the technology, administrative, and instructional challenges facing today's educators, children and administrators. A demonstration of the provider's K-12 commitment should include but not be limited to:

- A description of your company's commitment to K-12 Education.
- Examples of your company's activities as evidence of its commitment and support for K-12 Education.
- Explanation of the size, type, and location of your company's relevant activities.
- Description of your company's commitment to work with local contractors and business enterprises.

5.6 Funding considerations

EPISD requires each vendor to address possible assistance in securing funding for technology infusion throughout the school district. Respond in general terms how such assistance will be provided and the funding sources.

In addition to general considerations, address the following items concerning the vendor's intent and capability to specifically provide funding assistance.

- Identify components of the sample configuration that might receive funding assistance
- Describe in detail what the level of assistance might be provided
- Provide a total amount of funding assistance anticipated for the sample configuration
- Identify long-term considerations including the likelihood of multi-year funding assistance and the commitment of the vendor to provide such services to EPISD
- Identify how much effort will be required by EPISD in obtaining this funding
- What costs will EPISD incur to achieve funding assistance
- Provide at least references where the District / vendor partnership has been successful in securing funding for technology projects

5.7 Pricing Model and Cost Assurances

As with any project, cost is a major consideration. EPISD understands that a strategic partnership as described in this RFP, does not allow for firm fixed pricing, as the specific scope of work necessary for such pricing is

impossible to ascertain. Additionally, EPISD wants to enter a partnership with the best available company or organization and recognizes that the "best" is not always provided by the "low bid". Prospective bidders should note that this RFP does not require a firm fixed price, a cost plus proposal, or any other specific cost information. However, it is vitally important that EPISD get value for its dollar and be able to demonstrate this to the taxpayers of EPISD. Consequently, prospective bidders are required to provide a proposed pricing model that will:

- Be able to demonstrate throughout the life of the contract that the costs associated with this partnership are within normal and customary charges for the type of service provided.
- Be simple to administer as specific scopes of work are developed.
- Meet all statutory requirements for record keeping, reporting and auditing of public funds.
- Be flexible in working within established budgets.

Bidders are encouraged to provide any additional ideas, concerns or strategies for accomplishing the above.

It cannot be over emphasized how important this criterion is to the potential success of any prospective bidders and your particular attention to providing a unique and workable implementation is strongly recommended. This criterion will be a major factor in evaluating the contractor's previous year's performance for determining the annual renewal/non renewal of this contract.

Contingent upon negotiations with the selected vendor, a specific price quote may be required upon completion of the final negotiated contract for the E-rate eligible projects. This information will be submitted on EPISD's 471 application. Specific pricing will be required for any additional projects.

5.8 Other Vendor Attributes

EPISD has also determined that a company's background, experience, and financial stability are essential for the success of a long-term strategic relationship with its selected Strategic Technology Partner. In addition, the satisfaction of clients with the quality and cost effectiveness of services and products provided by the vendor is also critically important. Vendors responding to this RFP should include information about their company's experience, financial stability, and quality of services and products, in addition to cost effectiveness of their services and products and satisfaction of their clients.

A minimum of three (3) references must be provided. Preferred references would be other large school districts. Vendors must provide project scope and contact information.

6.0 Proposal Evaluation Model

A committee designated to evaluate the responses to this RFP will select up to three (3) vendors as finalists. The finalists may be required to present and answer questions regarding their RFP responses. The committee will select a single vendor to recommend as EPISD's Strategic Technology Partner from the finalists.

EPISD reserves the right to select outright a Strategic Technology Partner, and to waive the ~~finalists stage~~ of the evaluation process in the event a vendor has a total points scored significantly higher than all the other vendors responding to this RFP.

EPISD at its sole discretion may accept or reject any or all responses to this RFP and may waive all formalities, technicalities and irregularities. All bidders are placed on notice that award of this RFP will be based upon the products and services best suited to EPISD. The sole judgment of EPISD on such matters shall be final.

Attachment 3

**El Paso Independent School District
Form 470 for Funding Year 2002**

470

Schools and Libraries Universal Service Description of Services Requested and Certification Form

Estimated Average Burden Hours Per Response: 5.0 hours

This form is designed to help you describe the eligible telecommunications-related services you seek so that this data can be posted on the Fund Administrator website and interested service providers can identify you as a potential customer and compete to serve you.

Please read instructions before completing.

(To be completed by entity that will negotiate with providers.)

Block 1: Applicant Address and Identifications
(School, library, or consortium desiring Universal Service funding.)

Form 470 Application Number: 697260000380349

Applicant's Form Identifier: Year 5 Form 470

Application Status: CERTIFIED

Posting Date: 11/26/2001

Allowable Contract Date: 12/24/2001

Certification Received Date: 11/27/2001

1. Name of Applicant:

EL PASO INDEP SCHOOL DISTRICT

2. Funding Year:

07/01/2002 - 06/30/2003

3. Your Entity Number

142118

4. Applicant's Street Address, P.O.Box, or Route Number**a. Street**

6531 BOEING DR

City

EL PASO

State

TX

Zip Code 5Digit

79925

Zip Code 4Digit**b. Telephone number****ext.**

(915) 779- 4235

c. Fax number

(915) 779- 4100

d. E-mail Address

jack.johnston@episd.org

5. Type Of Applicant (Check only one box)

☐ Library (including library system, library branch, or library consortium applying as a library)

☐ Individual School (individual public or non-public school)

☒ School District (LEA; public or non-public [e.g., diocesan] local district representing multiple schools)

<input type="checkbox"/> Consortium (intermediate service agencies, states, state networks, special consortia)			
6a. Contact Person's Name: Jack Johnston			
6b. Street Address, P.O.Box, or Route Number (if different from Item 4)			
<input type="checkbox"/> 6531 BOEING DR			
City	State	Zip Code 5Digit	Zip Code 4Digit
EL PASO	TX	79925	
6c. Telephone Number (10 digits + ext.) (915) 779- 4235			
6d. Fax Number (10 digits) (915) 779- 4100			
6e. E-mail Address (50 characters max.) jack.johnston@episd.org			

Block 2: Summary Description of Needs or Services Requested

7 This Form 470 describes (check all that apply):

a. ☒ Tariffed services - telecommunications services, purchased at regulated prices, for which the applicant has no signed, written contract. A new Form 470 must be filed for tariffed services for each funding year.

b. ☒ Month-to-month services for which the applicant has no signed, written contract. A new Form 470 must be filed for these services for each funding year.

c. ☒ Services for which a new written contract is sought for the funding year in Item 2.

d. ☐ A multi-year contract signed on or before 7/10/97 but for which no Form 470 has been filed in a previous program year.

NOTE: Services that are covered by a **qualified contract** for all or part of the funding year in Item 2 do NOT require filing of Form 470. A qualified contract is a signed, written contract executed pursuant to posting a Form 470 in a previous program year OR a contract signed on/before 7/10/97 and reported on a Form 470 in a previous year as an existing contract.

8 ☒ Telecommunications Services

Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?

a ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at _____
or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.

b ☒ NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Telecommunications Services you seek. Specify each service or function (e.g., local voice service) and quantity and/or capacity(e.g., 20 existing lines plus 10 new ones). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Telecommunications Services, and remember that only common carrier telecommunications companies can provide these services under the universal service support mechanism. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
Local Voice Services	2000 lines + 200 additional

Long Distance Services	2000 lines + 200 additional
Basic Telephone Service(POTS,Centrex, trunk)	95 sites + 5 additional
Long Distance, calling cards	95 sites + 5 additional
High bandwidth service (56kb/s,ISDN, DSL, Frame Relay, fractional T-1, DS-1, DS-3, OC-3, ATM, satellite, MAN, WAN, LAN interconnect)	95 sites + 5 additional
Wireless service (cellular, PCS, paging, LAN, WAN)	95 sites + 5 additional
Video service, interactive TV, distance learning	95 sites + 5 additional
Maintenance/installation (inside wire maintenance)	95 sites + 5 additional
Internet 2	95 sites + 5 additional
Homework hotline service	95 sites + 5 additional
Dark fiber, professional services	95 sites + 5 additional

9 ☒ Internet Access

Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?

- a ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at _____
or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.
- b ☒ NO , I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Internet Access Services you seek. Specify each service or function (e.g., monthly Internet service) and quantity and/or capacity(e.g., for 500 users). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Internet Access Services. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
Internet access (bundled, unbundled)	for 95 sites + 5 new
WAN, dark fiber	for 95 sites + 5 new
High bandwidth service (56kb/s, ISDN, DSL, Frame relay, fractional T-1, DS-1, DS-3, OC-3, ATM, satellite, MAN, WAN, LAN interconnect)	for 95 sites + 5 new
Maintenance/installation	for 95 sites + 5 new
e-mail	for 95 sites + 5 new
Construction costs, contingency fees, leasing fees, professional services, per diem, travel time	for 95 sites + 5 new

10 ☒ Internal Connections

Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?

- a ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at _____
or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.
- b ☒ NO , I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Internal Connections Services you seek. Specify each service or function (e.g., local area network) and quantity and/or capacity(e.g., connecting 10 rooms and 300 computers at 56Kbps or better). See the Eligible Services List at

www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Internal Connections Services. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
Maintenance for PBX's	95 sites + 5 additional
PBX expansion components & upgrades	95 sites + 5 additional
Wiring (CAT3, CAT5, coax, fiber, conduit, wiring accessories)	95 sites + 5 additional
Routers, servers, switches, hubs and upgrades	95 sites + 5 additional
PBX, KSU, ARS, console, components and upgrades, voice compression module, VIC, VoIP	95 sites + 5 additional
Video CODEC, MCU, MPEG encoder, PVBX, video group and desktop equipment, EMMI	95 sites + 5 additional
Maintenance/installation, technical support, documentation, extended warranty	95 sites + 5 additional
Wireless service, LAN	95 sites + 5 additional
Video equipment (broadband amplifier, cable box and modem)	95 sites + 5 additional
ATM equipment (edge device, EMMI)	95 sites + 5 additional
Hardware and upgrades for internal connections (CSU/DSU, antenna, tape backup, line sharing device, media converter, modem, monitor, multiplexing, satellite dish, TA, terminal server, UPS, zip drive, DIMM, transceiver)	95 sites + 5 additional
Internal connections components (backup power supply and batteries, cabinets, power strips, circuit card, ethernet card, graphics card, hard disk array controller, RAID, MAU, NIC, SNMP module, multiport serial card)	95 sites + 5 additional
Operational Software and upgrades, e-mail software, client access licenses, programming and configuration charges	95 sites + 5 additional
Construction costs, contingency fees, leasing fees, professional services, per diem, travel time	95 sites + 5 additional

II (Optional) Please name the person on your staff or project who can provide additional technical details or answer specific questions from service providers about the services you are seeking. This need not be the contact person listed in Item 6 nor the signer of this form.

Name:	Title:
Jack Johnston	Executive Director, TIS

Telephone number (10 digits + ext.)
(915) 779 - 4235

Fax number
(915) 779 - 4100

E-mail Address (50 characters max.)

jsjohnst@episd.org

12. ☐ Check here if there are any restrictions imposed by state or local laws or regulations on how or when providers may contact you or on other bidding procedures. Please describe below any such restrictions or procedures, and/or give Web address where they are posted.

13. (Optional) Purchases in future years: If you have plans to purchase additional services in future years, or expect to seek new contracts for existing services, summarize below (including the likely time-frames).

Block 3: Technology Assessment

14. ☐ **Basic telephone service only:** If your application is for basic local and long distance voice telephone service only, check this box and skip to Item 16.

15. Although the following services and facilities are ineligible for support, they are usually necessary to make effective use of the eligible services requested in this application. Unless you indicated in Item 14 that your application is ONLY for basic telephone service, you must check at least one box in (a) through (e). You may provide details for purchases being sought.

a. Desktop communications software: Software required ☒ has been purchased; and/or ☒ is being sought.

b. Electrical systems: ☒ adequate electrical capacity is in place or has already been arranged; and/or ☒ upgrading for additional electrical capacity is being sought.

c. Computers: a sufficient quantity of computers ☒ has been purchased; and/or ☒ is being sought.

d. Computer hardware maintenance: adequate arrangements ☒ have been made; and/or ☒ are being sought.

e. Staff development: ☒ all staff have had an appropriate level of training or additional training has already been scheduled; and/or ☒ training is being sought.

f. Additional details: Use this space to provide additional details to help providers to identify the services you desire.

Block 4: Recipients of Service

16. Eligible Entities That Will Receive Service:

Check the ONE choice that best describes this application and the eligible entities that will receive the services described in this application.

You must select a state if (b) or (c) is selected: **TX**

a. ☐ **Individual school or single-site library:** Check here, and enter the billed entity in Item 17.

b. ☒ Statewide application (check all that apply):

- ☐ All public schools/districts in the state:
☐ All non-public schools in the state:
☐ All libraries in the state:

If your statewide application includes INELIGIBLE entities, check here. ☐ If checked, complete Item 18.

c. ☒ School district, library system, or consortium application to serve multiple eligible sites:

Number of eligible sites	95
<i>For these eligible sites, please provide the following</i>	
Area Codes (list each unique area code)	Prefixes associated with each area code (first 3 digits of phone number) separate with commas, leave no spaces
915	231,351,496,532,533,534,541,542,543,54 ,545,546,562,564,565,566,577,581,584,58 5,587,590,591,592,593,595,598,599,626,7 51,755,757,759,771,772,774,775,778,779 780,781,783,821,822,832,833,834,845,84 ,856,881,882,887

If your application includes INELIGIBLE entities, check here. ☐ If checked, complete Item 18.

17. Billed Entities

Entity Name	Entity Number
EL PASO INDEP SCHOOL DISTRICT	142118

18. Ineligible Entities

Ineligible Participating Entity	Entity Number	Area Code	Prefix
---------------------------------	---------------	-----------	--------

Block 5: Certification

- a. ☒ schools under the statutory definitions of elementary and secondary schools found in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, 20 U.S.C. Secs. 8801(14) and (25), that do not operate as for-profit businesses.

Secondary Education Act of 1965, 20 U.S.C. Secs. 8801(14) and (25), that do not operate as for-profit businesses, and do not have endowments exceeding \$50 million; and/or

b. ☐ libraries or library consortia eligible for assistance from a State library administrative agency under the Library Services and Technology Act of 1996 that do not operate as for-profit businesses and whose budgets are completely separate from any school (including, but not limited to) elementary and secondary schools, colleges and universities.

20. All of the individual schools, libraries, and library consortia receiving services under this application are covered by:

- a. ☒ individual technology plans for using the services requested in the application
- b. ☐ higher-level technology plans for using the services requested in the application
- c. ☐ no technology plan needed; application requests basic local and long distance telephone service only.

21. Status of technology plans (if representing multiple entities with mixed technology plan status, check both a and b):

- a. ☒ technology plan(s) has/have been approved by a state or other authorized body.
- b. ☐ technology plan(s) will be approved by a state or other authorized body.
- c. ☐ no technology plan needed; application requests basic local and long distance telephone service only.

22. ☒ I certify that the services the applicant purchases at discounts provided by 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254 will be used solely for educational purposes and will not be sold, resold, or transferred in consideration for money or any other thing of value.

23. ☒ I recognize that support under this support mechanism is conditional upon the school(s) or library(ies) I represent securing access to all of the resources, including computers, training, software, maintenance, and electrical connections necessary to use the services purchased effectively.

24. ☒ I certify that I am authorized to submit this request on behalf of the above-named entities, that I have examined this request, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, all statements of fact contained herein are true.

25. Signature of authorized person: ☒

26. Date (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/26/2001

27. Printed name of authorized person: Jack Johnston

28. Title or position of authorized person: Executive Director, TIS

29. Telephone number of authorized person: (915) 779 - 4235 ext.

[New Search](#)

[Return To Search Results](#)

Attachment 4

Funding Year 2002 E-Rate Funding Commitments and Form 470s for:

- Denver School District 1
- San Francisco Unified School District
- Kansas City School District
- St. Louis City School District
- Houston Independent School District

E-Rate Funding Commitments

State: CO Funding Year: 2002

Applicant	DENVER SCHOOL DISTRICT 1					
<i>Service</i>	<i>Address</i>	<i>City</i>	<i>Zip</i>	<i>\$ Amount</i>	<i>Wave</i>	<i>Discount (%)</i>
INTERNAL CONNECTIONS	900 GRANT ST	DENVER	80203	\$13,040,318.97	19	90
INTERNET ACCESS	900 GRANT ST	DENVER	80203	\$56,114.52	19	77
TELCOMM SERVICES	900 GRANT ST	DENVER	80203	\$1,016,938.49	19	77

Sum **\$14,113,371.98**

Total **\$14,113,371.98**

[Return to Automated Search of Commitments](#)

Click here to access the Automated Search of Commitments FAQs
Get Help!

FCC Form

Approval by OMB
3060-0806**470**

Schools and Libraries Universal Service Description of Services Requested and Certification Form

Estimated Average Burden Hours Per Response: 5.0 hours

This form is designed to help you describe the eligible telecommunications-related services you seek so that this data can be posted on the Fund Administrator website and interested service providers can identify you as a potential customer and compete to serve you.

Please read instructions before completing.

(To be completed by entity that will negotiate with providers.)

Block 1: Applicant Address and Identifications

(School, library, or consortium desiring Universal Service funding.)

Form 470 Application Number: 113130000372027

Applicant's Form Identifier: 7/1/2002

Application Status: CERTIFIED

Posting Date: 11/14/2001

Allowable Contract Date: 12/12/2001

Certification Received Date: 11/19/2001

1. Name of Applicant:

DENVER SCHOOL DISTRICT 1

2. Funding Year:

07/01/2002 - 06/30/2003

3. Your Entity Number

142154

4. Applicant's Street Address, P.O.Box, or Route Number**a. Street**

900 GRANT ST

City

DENVER

State

CO

Zip Code 5Digit

80203

Zip Code 4Digit

2907

b. Telephone number

ext.

(303) 764- 3200

c. Fax number

(303) 764- 3774

d. E-mail Address

bbullard@dpsk12.org

5. Type Of Applicant (Check only one box)

- ☐ Library (including library system, library branch, or library consortium applying as a library)
- ☐ Individual School (individual public or non-public school)
- ☒ School District (LEA; public or non-public [e.g., diocesan] local district representing multiple schools)
- ☐ Consortium (intermediate service agencies, states, state networks, special consortia)

6a. Contact Person's Name: Bud Bullard**6b. Street Address, P.O.Box, or Route Number (if different from Item 4)**

<input type="radio"/> 780 Grant St.			
City Denver	State CO	Zip Code 5Digit 80203	Zip Code 4Digit 2907
<input type="radio"/> 6c. Telephone Number (10 digits + ext.) (303) 764- 3222			
<input type="radio"/> 6d. Fax Number (10 digits) (303) 764- 3774			
<input checked="" type="radio"/> 6e. E-mail Address (50 characters max.) bbullard@dpsk12.org			

Block 2: Summary Description of Needs or Services Requested

7 This Form 470 describes (check all that apply):
a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tariffed services - telecommunications services, purchased at regulated prices, for which the applicant has no signed, written contract. A new Form 470 must be filed for tariffed services for each funding year.
b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Month-to-month services for which the applicant has no signed, written contract. A new Form 470 must be filed for these services for each funding year.
c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services for which a new written contract is sought for the funding year in Item 2.
d. <input type="checkbox"/> A multi-year contract signed on or before 7/10/97 but for which no Form 470 has been filed in a previous program year.
NOTE: Services that are covered by a qualified contract for all or part of the funding year in Item 2 do NOT require filing of Form 470. A qualified contract is a signed, written contract executed pursuant to posting a Form 470 in a previous program year OR a contract signed on/before 7/10/97 and reported on a Form 470 in a previous year as an existing contract.

8 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telecommunications Services
<i>Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?</i>
a <input type="radio"/> YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at or via <input type="checkbox"/> the Contact Person in Item 6 or <input type="checkbox"/> the contact listed in Item 11.
b <input checked="" type="radio"/> NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.
If you answered NO, you must list below the Telecommunications Services you seek. Specify each service or function (e.g., local voice service) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., 20 existing lines plus 10 new ones). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Telecommunications Services, and remember that only common carrier telecommunications companies can provide these services under the universal service support mechanism. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
Basic Telephone Service	146 Sites
Cellular Service	Cell Service for 2000 Staff
Centrex	146 Sites
DS-1(Digital Signal 1)	146 Sites
Frame Relay Service	8 Locations
High Capacity Service	90 Sites
ISDN	146 Sites
Long Distance Service & Charges	Centralize for 146 Sites
Paging Service	Paging for 1500 Employees
Wide Area Network (WAN)	AT&T Inet for 35 Sites

Wireless Wide Area Network
Professional Services

Wireless WAN for 5 District Sites
146 Sites

9 ☒ Internet Access

Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?

a ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at
or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.

b ☒ NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Internet Access Services you seek. Specify each service or function (e.g., monthly Internet service) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., for 500 users). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Internet Access Services. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
Basic Unbundled Access	146 Sites Centralized Support
Bundled Access	146 Sites Centralized Support

10 ☒ Internal Connections

Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?

a ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at
or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.

b ☒ NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Internal Connections Services you seek. Specify each service or function (e.g., local area network) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., connecting 10 rooms and 300 computers at 56Kbps or better). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Internal Connections Services. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
Battery Backup	146 Sites
Cabinet Mounted Power Strips	146 Sites
CODEC	146 Sites
Key System KSU	8 Sites
Local Area Network (LAN)	146 Sites
Maintenance	146 Sites
Private Branch Exchange (PBX)	12 Sites
Racks	146 Sites
Servers	146 Sites
Software	146 Sites
Video Equipment	146 Sites
Wireless Local Area Network	146 Sites
Wiring, Internal	146 Sites

11 (Optional) Please name the person on your staff or project who can provide additional technical details or answer specific questions from service providers about the services you are seeking. This need not be the contact person listed in Item 6 nor the signer of this form.

Name:	Title:
Bud Bullard	Manager of Data and Voice Communications
Telephone number (10 digits +)	
(303) 764 - 3222	
Fax number	
(303) 764 -	
E-mail Address (50 characters max.)	
bbullard@dpsk12.org	
<p>12. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check here if there are any restrictions imposed by state or local laws or regulations on how or when providers may contact you or on other bidding procedures. Please describe below any such restrictions or procedures, and/or give Web address where they are posted.</p> <p>Tabor Amendment listed on the www.aclin.org/webtele/form470.htm Web Site: "A Colorado Constitutional Amendment prohibits public schools and libraries from entering into multiple-year financial obligations, such as multi-year contracts, without pre-allocation of the funds unless the local voters have previously approved such an obligation. [Colo. Const. Article X, Section 20(4)(b)] However, funding agreements, including multi-year contracts, that are subject to annual appropriations by a governing board, such as a school board, generally are allowed and are not subject to this constitutional provision since the governing board decides each year to make a particular expenditure".</p>	
<p>13. (Optional) Purchases in future years: If you have plans to purchase additional services in future years, or expect to seek new contracts for existing services, summarize below (including the likely time-frames).</p>	

Block 3: Technology Assessment

14.	<input type="checkbox"/> Basic telephone service only: If your application is for basic local and long distance voice telephone service only, check this box and skip to Item 16.
15.	Although the following services and facilities are ineligible for support, they are usually necessary to make effective use of the eligible services requested in this application. Unless you indicated in Item 14 that your application is ONLY for basic telephone service, you must check at least one box in (a) through (e). You may provide details for purchases being sought.
a.	Desktop communications software: Software required <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> has been purchased; and/or <input type="checkbox"/> is being sought.
b.	Electrical systems: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> adequate electrical capacity is in place or has already been arranged; and/or <input type="checkbox"/> upgrading for additional electrical capacity is being sought.
c.	Computers: a sufficient quantity of computers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> has been purchased; and/or <input type="checkbox"/> is being sought.
d.	Computer hardware maintenance: adequate arrangements <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> have been made; and/or <input type="checkbox"/> are being sought.
e.	Staff development: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> all staff have had an appropriate level of training or additional training has already been scheduled; and/or <input type="checkbox"/> training is being sought.
f.	Additional details: Use this space to provide additional details to help providers to identify the services you desire.

Block 4: Recipients of Service

17. Billed Entities

Entity Name	Entity Number
DENVER SCHOOL DISTRICT 1	142154

18. Ineligible Entities

Ineligible Participating Entity	Entity Number	Area Code	Prefix

Block 5: Certification**19. The applicant includes:(Check one or both)**

- a. ☒ schools under the statutory definitions of elementary and secondary schools found in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, 20 U.S.C. Secs. 8801(14) and (25), that do not operate as for-profit businesses, and do not have endowments exceeding \$50 million; and/or
- b. ☐ libraries or library consortia eligible for assistance from a State library administrative agency under the Library Services and Technology Act of 1996 that do not operate as for-profit businesses and whose budgets are completely separate from any school (including, but not limited to) elementary and secondary schools, colleges and universities.

20. All of the individual schools, libraries, and library consortia receiving services under this application are covered by:

- a. ☐ individual technology plans for using the services requested in the application
- b. ☒ higher-level technology plans for using the services requested in the application
- c. ☐ no technology plan needed; application requests basic local and long distance telephone service only.

21. Status of technology plans (if representing multiple entities with mixed technology plan status, check both a and b):

- a. ☒ technology plan(s) has/have been approved by a state or other authorized body.
- b. ☐ technology plan(s) will be approved by a state or other authorized body.
- c. ☐ no technology plan needed; application requests basic local and long distance telephone service only.

22. ☒ I certify that the services the applicant purchases at discounts provided by 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254 will be used solely for educational purposes and will not be sold, resold, or transferred in consideration for money or any other thing of value.

23. ☒ I recognize that support under this support mechanism is conditional upon the school(s) or library(ies) I represent securing access to all of the resources, including computers, training, software, maintenance, and electrical connections necessary to use the services purchased effectively.

24. ☒ I certify that I am authorized to submit this request on behalf of the above-named entities, that I have examined this request, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, all statements of fact contained herein are true.

25. Signature of authorized person: ☒

26. Date (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/14/2001

27. Printed name of authorized person: **Dr. Jerome Wartgow**

28. Title or position of authorized person: **Superintendent**

29. Telephone number of authorized person: **(303) 764 - 3300** ext.

[New Search](#)

[Return To Search Results](#)

E-Rate Funding Commitments**State: CA Funding Year: 2002**

Applicant	SAN FRANCISCO UNIF SCHOOL DIST					
<i>Service</i>	<i>Address</i>	<i>City</i>	<i>Zip</i>	<i>\$ Amount</i>	<i>Wave</i>	<i>Discount (%)</i>
INTERNAL CONNECTIONS	555 FRANKLIN STREET	SAN FRANCISCO	94102	\$217,494.00	13	90
INTERNET ACCESS	555 FRANKLIN STREET	SAN FRANCISCO	94102	\$45,360.00	9	70
TELCOMM SERVICES	555 FRANKLIN STREET	SAN FRANCISCO	94102	\$862,293.60	9	70

Sum	\$1,125,147.60
------------	-----------------------

Total	\$1,125,147.60
--------------	-----------------------

[Return to Automated Search of Commitments](#)

Click here to access the Automated Search of Commitments FAQs
Get Help!

FCC Form

Approval by OMB
3060-0806**470**

Schools and Libraries Universal Service Description of Services Requested and Certification Form

Estimated Average Burden Hours Per Response: 5.0 hours

This form is designed to help you describe the eligible telecommunications-related services you seek so that this data can be posted on the Fund Administrator website and interested service providers can identify you as a potential customer and compete to serve you.

Please read instructions before completing.

(To be completed by entity that will negotiate with providers.)

Block 1: Applicant Address and Identifications

(School, library, or consortium desiring Universal Service funding.)

Form 470 Application Number: 669180000401176

Applicant's Form Identifier:

Application Status: CERTIFIED

Posting Date: 12/14/2001

Allowable Contract Date: 01/11/2002

Certification Received Date: 12/14/2001

1. Name of Applicant:

SAN FRANCISCO UNIF SCHOOL DIST

2. Funding Year:

07/01/2002 - 06/30/2003

3. Your Entity Number

144152

4. Applicant's Street Address, P.O.Box, or Route Number**a. Street**

555 Franklin Street

City

SAN FRANCISCO

State

CA

Zip Code 5Digit

94102

Zip Code 4Digit

5207

b. Telephone number

ext.

(415) 241- 6169

c. Fax number

(415) 241- 6380

d. E-mail Address

bmanson@esp.sfusd.edu

5. Type Of Applicant (Check only one box)

☐ Library (including library system, library branch, or library consortium applying as a library)

☐ Individual School (individual public or non-public school)

☒ School District (LEA; public or non-public [e.g., diocesan] local district representing multiple schools)

☐ Consortium (intermediate service agencies, states, state networks, special consortia)

6a. Contact Person's Name: Bruce Manson

6b. Street Address, P.O.Box, or Route Number (if different from Item 4)			
<input type="radio"/> 555 Franklin Street			
City	State	Zip Code 5Digit	Zip Code 4Digit
SAN FRANCISCO	CA	94102	5207
<input type="radio"/> 6c. Telephone Number (10 digits + ext.) (415) 241- 6169			
<input type="radio"/> 6d. Fax Number (10 digits) (415) 241- 6380			
<input checked="" type="radio"/> 6e. E-mail Address (50 characters max.) bmanson@esp.sfusd.edu			

Block 2: Summary Description of Needs or Services Requested

<p>7 This Form 470 describes (check all that apply):</p> <p>a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tariffed services - telecommunications services, purchased at regulated prices, for which the applicant has no signed, written contract. A new Form 470 must be filed for tariffed services for each funding year.</p> <p>b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Month-to-month services for which the applicant has no signed, written contract. A new Form 470 must be filed for these services for each funding year.</p> <p>c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services for which a new written contract is sought for the funding year in Item 2.</p> <p>d. <input type="checkbox"/> A multi-year contract signed on or before 7/10/97 but for which no Form 470 has been filed in a previous program year.</p> <p>NOTE: Services that are covered by a qualified contract for all or part of the funding year in Item 2 do NOT require filing of Form 470. A qualified contract is a signed, written contract executed pursuant to posting a Form 470 in a previous program year OR a contract signed on/before 7/10/97 and reported on a Form 470 in a previous year as an existing contract.</p>

8 ☒ Telecommunications Services

Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?

a ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at
or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.

b ☒ NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Telecommunications Services you seek. Specify each service or function (e.g., local voice service) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., 20 existing lines plus 10 new ones). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Telecommunications Services, and remember that only common carrier telecommunications companies can provide these services under the universal service support mechanism. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
basic telephone service (POTS, Centrex, trunk)	Up to 150 locations
long distance, calling cards	Up to 150 locations
high bandwidth service (56kb/s, ISDN, DSL, Frame relay, fractional T-1, DS-1, DS-3, OC-3, ATM, satellite, MAN, WAN, LAN interconnect	Up to 150 locations
wireless service (cellular, PCS, paging, LAN, WAN)	Up to 150 locations
video service, interactive TV, distance learning	Up to 150 locations
maintenance/installation (inside wire maintenance)	Up to 150 locations
internet 2	Up to 150 locations

homework hotline service	Up to 150 locations
dark fiber, professional services	Up to 150 locations

9 ☒ Internet Access

Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?

a ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at
or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.

b ☒ NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Internet Access Services you seek. Specify each **service or function** (e.g., monthly Internet service) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., for 500 users). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Internet Access Services. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
internet access (bundled, unbundled)	Up to 150 locations
WAN, dark fiber	Up to 150 locations
high bandwidth service (56kb/s, ISDN, DSL, Frame relay, fractional T-1, DS-1, DS-3, OC-3, ATM, satellite, MAN, WAN, LAN interconnect)	Up to 150 locations
maintenance/installation	Up to 150 locations
e-mail	Up to 150 locations
construction costs, contingency fees, leasing fees, professional services, per diem, travel time	Up to 150 locations

10 ☒ Internal Connections

Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?

a ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at
or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.

b ☒ NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Internal Connections Services you seek. Specify each **service or function** (e.g., local area network) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., connecting 10 rooms and 300 computers at 56Kbps or better). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Internal Connections Services. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
wiring (Cat3, Cat5, coax, fiber, conduit, wiring accessories)	Up to 150 locations
routers, servers, switches, hubs and upgrades	Up to 150 locations
PBX, KSU, ARS, console, components and upgrades, voice compression module, VIC, VoIP	Up to 150 locations
video CODEC, MCU, MPEG encoder, PVBX, video group and desktop equipment, EMMI	Up to 150 locations
maintenance/installation, technical support, documentation, extended warranty	Up to 150 locations
wireless service, LAN	Up to 150 locations
video equipment (broadband amplifier, cable box and modem)	Up to 150 locations

ATM equipment (edge device, EMMI)	Up to 150 locations
hardware and upgrades for internal connections (CSU/DSU, antenna, tape backup, line sharing device, media converter, modem, monitor, multiplexing, satellite dish, TA, terminal server, UPS, zip drive, DIMM, transceiver)	Up to 150 locations
internal connections components (backup power supply and batteries, cabinets, and power strips, circuit card, ethernet card, graphics card, hard disk array controller, RAID, MAU, NIC, SNMP module, multiport serial card)	Up to 150 locations
operational software and upgrades, e-mail software, client access licenses, programming and configuration charges	Up to 150 locations
construction costs, contingency fees, leasing fees, professional services, per diem, travel time	Up to 150 locations

11 (Optional) Please name the person on your staff or project who can provide additional technical details or answer specific questions from service providers about the services you are seeking. This need not be the contact person listed in Item 6 nor the signer of this form.

Name: Bruce Manson	Title: Director of Special Projects
------------------------------	---

Telephone number (10 digits + ext.)
(415) 241 - 6169

Fax number
(415) 241 - 6038

E-mail Address (50 characters max.)
bmanson@esp.sfusd.edu

12. ☐ Check here if there are any restrictions imposed by state or local laws or regulations on how or when providers may contact you or on other bidding procedures. Please describe below any such restrictions or procedures, and/or give Web address where they are posted.

13. (Optional) Purchases in future years: If you have plans to purchase additional services in future years, or expect to seek new contracts for existing services, summarize below (including the likely time-frames).

Block 3: Technology Assessment

14. ☐ **Basic telephone service only:** If your application is for basic local and long distance voice telephone service only, check this box and skip to Item 16.

15. Although the following services and facilities are ineligible for support, they are usually necessary to make effective use of the eligible services requested in this application. Unless you indicated in Item 14 that your application is ONLY for basic telephone service, you must check at least one box in (a) through (e). You may provide details for purchases being sought.

- a. Desktop communications software: Software required ☐ has been purchased; and/or ☒ is being sought.
- b. Electrical systems: ☐ adequate electrical capacity is in place or has already been arranged; and/or ☒ upgrading for additional electrical capacity is being sought.
- c. Computers: a sufficient quantity of computers ☐ has been purchased; and/or ☒ is being sought.
- d. Computer hardware maintenance: adequate arrangements ☐ have been made; and/or ☒ are being sought.
- e. Staff development: ☐ all staff have had an appropriate level of training or additional training has already been scheduled; and/or ☒ training is being sought.
- f. Additional details: Use this space to provide additional details to help providers to identify the services you desire.

Block 4: Recipients of Service

16. Eligible Entities That Will Receive Service:

Check the ONE choice that best describes this application and the eligible entities that will receive the services described in this application.

You must select a state if (b) or (c) is selected: **CA**

a. ☐ Individual school or single-site library: Check here, and enter the billed entity in Item 17.

b. ☐ Statewide application (check all that apply):

- ☐ All public schools/districts in the state:
☐ All non-public schools in the state:
☐ All libraries in the state:

If your statewide application includes INELIGIBLE entities, check here. ☐ If checked, complete Item 18.

c. ☒ School district, library system, or consortium application to serve multiple eligible sites:

Number of eligible sites	150
<i>For these eligible sites, please provide the following</i>	
Area Codes (list each unique area code)	Prefixes associated with each area code (first 3 digits of phone number) separate with commas, leave no spaces
415	241, 242, 263, 291, 330, 452, 469, 522, 695, 74
If your application includes INELIGIBLE entities, check here. <input type="checkbox"/> If checked, complete Item 18.	

17. Billed Entities

Entity Name	Entity Number
SAN FRANCISCO UNIF SCHOOL DIST	144152

18. Ineligible Entities

Ineligible Participating Entity	Entity Number	Area Code	Prefix

Block 5: Certification**19. The applicant includes:(Check one or both)**

- a. ☒ schools under the statutory definitions of elementary and secondary schools found in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, 20 U.S.C. Secs. 8801(14) and (25), that do not operate as for-profit businesses, and do not have endowments exceeding \$50 million; and/or
- b. ☐ libraries or library consortia eligible for assistance from a State library administrative agency under the Library Services and Technology Act of 1996 that do not operate as for-profit businesses and whose budgets are completely separate from any school (including, but not limited to) elementary and secondary schools, colleges and universities.

20. All of the individual schools, libraries, and library consortia receiving services under this application are covered by:

- a. ☐ individual technology plans for using the services requested in the application
- b. ☒ higher-level technology plans for using the services requested in the application
- c. ☐ no technology plan needed; application requests basic local and long distance telephone service only.

21. Status of technology plans (if representing multiple entities with mixed technology plan status, check both a and b):

- a. ☒ technology plan(s) has/have been approved by a state or other authorized body.
- b. ☐ technology plan(s) will be approved by a state or other authorized body.
- c. ☐ no technology plan needed; application requests basic local and long distance telephone service only. .

22. ☒ I certify that the services the applicant purchases at discounts provided by 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254 will be used solely for educational purposes and will not be sold, resold, or transferred in consideration for money or any other thing of value.

23. ☒ I recognize that support under this support mechanism is conditional upon the school(s) or library(ies) I represent securing access to all of the resources, including computers, training, software, maintenance, and electrical connections necessary to use the services purchased effectively.

24. ☒ I certify that I am authorized to submit this request on behalf of the above-named entities, that I have examined this request, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, all statements of fact contained herein are true.

25. Signature of authorized person: ☒

26. Date (mm/dd/yyyy): 07/14/2001

27. Printed name of authorized person: **Bruce Manson**

28. Title or position of authorized person: **Director of Special Projects**

29. Telephone number of authorized person: **(415) 241 - 6169** ext.

[New Search](#)

[Return To Search Results](#)

E-Rate Funding Commitments**State: MO Funding Year: 2002**

Applicant	KANSAS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT					
<i>Service</i>	<i>Address</i>	<i>City</i>	<i>Zip</i>	<i>\$ Amount</i>	<i>Wave</i>	<i>Discount (%)</i>
INTERNAL CONNECTIONS	1211 MCGEE STREET	KANSAS CITY	64106	\$23,565,388.37	17	90
TELCOMM SERVICES	1211 MCGEE STREET	KANSAS CITY	64106	\$1,768,659.60	17	85

Sum	\$25,334,047.97
------------	------------------------

Total	\$25,334,047.97
--------------	------------------------

[Return to Automated Search of Commitments](#)

Click here to access the Automated Search of Commitments FAQs Get Help!

FCC Form

Approval by OMB
3060-0806

470

Schools and Libraries Universal Service Description of Services Requested and Certification Form

Estimated Average Burden Hours Per Response: 5.0 hours

This form is designed to help you describe the eligible telecommunications-related services you seek so that this data can be posted on the Fund Administrator website and interested service providers can identify you as a potential customer and compete to serve you.

Please read instructions before completing.

(To be completed by entity that will negotiate with providers.)

Block 1: Applicant Address and Identifications (School, library, or consortium desiring Universal Service funding.)

Form 470 Application Number: 233480000378235
Applicant's Form Identifier: MOKCMSD.47001.2002
Application Status: CERTIFIED
Posting Date: 11/20/2001
Allowable Contract Date: 12/18/2001
Certification Received Date: 11/20/2001

1. Name of Applicant: KANSAS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT			
2. Funding Year: 07/01/2002 - 06/30/2003		3. Your Entity Number 137143	
4. Applicant's Street Address, P.O.Box, or Route Number			
a. Street 1211 MCGEE STREET			
City KANSAS CITY	State MO	Zip Code 5Digit 64106	Zip Code 4Digit 2416
b. Telephone number (816) 418- 7000		c. Fax number (816) 418- 7631	
d. E-mail Address enorwood@email.kcmsd.k12.mo.us			
5. Type Of Applicant (Check only one box)			
<input type="radio"/> Library (including library system, library branch, or library consortium applying as a library)			
<input type="radio"/> Individual School (individual public or non-public school)			
<input checked="" type="radio"/> School District (LEA;public or non-public[e.g., diocesan] local district representing multiple schools)			
<input type="radio"/> Consortium (intermediate service agencies, states, state networks, special consortia)			
6a. Contact Person's Name: Elonia Norwood			

6b. Street Address, P.O.Box, or Route Number (if different from Item 4)			
<input type="radio"/> 1211 MCGEE STREET			
City	State	Zip Code 5Digit	Zip Code 4Digit
KANSAS CITY	MO	64106	2416
<input type="radio"/> 6c. Telephone Number (10 digits + ext.) (816) 418- 7000			
<input checked="" type="radio"/> 6d. Fax Number (10 digits) (816) 418- 7631			
<input type="radio"/> 6e. E-mail Address (50 characters max.) enorwood@email.kcmsd.k12.mo.us			

Block 2: Summary Description of Needs or Services Requested

7 This Form 470 describes (check all that apply):
a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tariffed services - telecommunications services, purchased at regulated prices, for which the applicant has no signed, written contract. A new Form 470 must be filed for tariffed services for each funding year.
b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Month-to-month services for which the applicant has no signed, written contract. A new Form 470 must be filed for these services for each funding year.
c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services for which a new written contract is sought for the funding year in Item 2.
d. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A multi-year contract signed on or before 7/10/97 but for which no Form 470 has been filed in a previous program year.
NOTE: Services that are covered by a qualified contract for all or part of the funding year in Item 2 do NOT require filing of Form 470. A qualified contract is a signed, written contract executed pursuant to posting a Form 470 in a previous program year OR a contract signed on/before 7/10/97 and reported on a Form 470 in a previous year as an existing contract.

8 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telecommunications Services
Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?
a <input type="radio"/> YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at or via <input type="checkbox"/> the Contact Person in Item 6 or <input type="checkbox"/> the contact listed in Item 11.
b <input checked="" type="radio"/> NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.
If you answered NO, you must list below the Telecommunications Services you seek. Specify each service or function (e.g., local voice service) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., 20 existing lines plus 10 new ones). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Telecommunications Services, and remember that only common carrier telecommunications companies can provide these services under the universal service support mechanism. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
Local and Long Distance Services	All 80+ Schools plus admin
Cellular and Paging Services	As needed to support instruction
Data Svcs (DSL, F/R, ATM)	To connect all schools plus admin center
High Bandwidth Svcs (T1 etc)	Selected schools plus admin
OnPremise Equipment for End to End Svcs	80+ schools plus admin
Distance Learning	80+ schools plus admin
Eligible Video and other services	80+ schools plus admin
Wireless WAN	As needed to reach selected schools

9 ☒ Internet Access**Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?**

a ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at
or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.

b ☒ NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Internet Access Services you seek. Specify each service or function (e.g., monthly Internet service) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., for 500 users). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Internet Access Services. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
High speed Internet Access	80+ Schools plus admin
Dial up data access	As needed
WAN Services	As Needed

10 ☒ Internal Connections**Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?**

a ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at
or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.

b ☒ NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Internal Connections Services you seek. Specify each service or function (e.g., local area network) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., connecting 10 rooms and 300 computers at 56Kbps or better). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Internal Connections Services. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
Internal and Outside Cabling	80+ Schools plus admin
Network Electronics (LAN/WAN)	80+ Schools plus admin
Telephone Systems	80+ Schools plus admin
File Servers & Internet Servers	80+ Schools plus admin
Eligible Maintenance Services	80+ Schools plus admin
Wireless LAN	80+ Schools plus admin
Video and other eligible services	80+ Schools plus admin
VOIP	Selected Schools plus admin
Eligible Applications Software	Selected Schools plus admin
Eligible Professional Services	Selected Schools plus admin
UPS and other eligible hardware	Selected Schools plus admin

11 (Optional) Please name the person on your staff or project who can provide additional technical details or answer specific questions from service providers about the services you are seeking. This need not be the contact person listed in Item 6 nor the signer of this form.

Name:	Title:
Dennis Peterson	Technical Services Manager

Telephone number (10 digits + ext.)
(816) 418 - 7141

Fax number
(816) 418 - 7104

E-mail Address (50 characters max.)
dpeterso@email.kcmsd.k12.mo.us

12. ☐ Check here if there are any restrictions imposed by state or local laws or regulations on how or when providers may contact you or on other bidding procedures. Please describe below any such restrictions or procedures, and/or give Web address where they are posted.

13. (Optional) Purchases in future years: If you have plans to purchase additional services in future years, or expect to seek new contracts for existing services, summarize below (including the likely time-frames).

Block 3: Technology Assessment

14. ☐ **Basic telephone service only:** If your application is for basic local and long distance voice telephone service only, check this box and skip to Item 16.

15. Although the following services and facilities are ineligible for support, they are usually necessary to make effective use of the eligible services requested in this application. Unless you indicated in Item 14 that your application is **ONLY** for basic telephone service, you must check at least one box in (a) through (e). You may provide details for purchases being sought.

a. Desktop communications software: Software required ☒ has been purchased; and/or ☒ is being sought.

b. Electrical systems: ☒ adequate electrical capacity is in place or has already been arranged; and/or ☒ upgrading for additional electrical capacity is being sought.

c. Computers: a sufficient quantity of computers ☒ has been purchased; and/or ☒ is being sought.

d. Computer hardware maintenance: adequate arrangements ☒ have been made; and/or ☒ are being sought.

e. Staff development: ☒ all staff have had an appropriate level of training or additional training has already been scheduled; and/or ☒ training is being sought.

f. Additional details: Use this space to provide additional details to help providers to identify the services you desire.

Block 4: Recipients of Service

16. Eligible Entities That Will Receive Service:

Check the **ONE** choice that best describes this application and the eligible entities that will receive the services described in this application.

You must select a state if (b) or (c) is selected: **MO**

a. ☐ **Individual school or single-site library:** Check here, and enter the billed entity in Item 17.

b. ☐ **Statewide application (check all that apply):**

- ☐ All public schools/districts in the state:
☐ All non-public schools in the state:
☐ All libraries in the state:

If your statewide application includes INELIGIBLE entities, check here. ☐ If checked, complete Item 18.

c. ☒ School district, library system, or consortium application to serve multiple eligible sites:

Number of eligible sites	93
<i>For these eligible sites, please provide the following</i>	
Area Codes (list each unique area code)	Prefixes associated with each area code (first 3 digits of phone number) separate with commas, leave no spaces
816	418, 435, 871

If your application includes INELIGIBLE entities, check here. ☐ If checked, complete Item 18.

17. Billed Entities

Entity Name	Entity Number
KANSAS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	137143

18. Ineligible Entities

Ineligible Participating Entity	Entity Number	Area Code	Prefix
---------------------------------	---------------	-----------	--------

Block 5: Certification

19. The applicant includes:(Check one or both)

- a. ☒ schools under the statutory definitions of elementary and secondary schools found in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, 20 U.S.C. Secs. 8801(14) and (25), that do not operate as for-profit businesses, and do not have endowments exceeding \$50 million; and/or
- b. ☐ libraries or library consortia eligible for assistance from a State library administrative agency under the Library Services and Technology Act of 1996 that do not operate as for-profit businesses and whose budgets are completely separate from any school (including, but not limited to) elementary and secondary schools, colleges and universities.

20. All of the individual schools, libraries, and library consortia receiving services under this application are covered by:

- a. ☐ individual technology plans for using the services requested in the application
- b. ☒ higher-level technology plans for using the services requested in the application
- c. ☐ no technology plan needed; application requests basic local and long distance telephone service only.

21. Status of technology plans (if representing multiple entities with mixed technology plan status, check both a and b):

- a. ☒ technology plan(s) has/have been approved by a state or other authorized body.
b. ☐ technology plan(s) will be approved by a state or other authorized body.
c. ☐ no technology plan needed; application requests basic local and long distance telephone service only. .

22. ☒ I certify that the services the applicant purchases at discounts provided by 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254 will be used solely for educational purposes and will not be sold, resold, or transferred in consideration for money or any other thing of value.

23. ☒ I recognize that support under this support mechanism is conditional upon the school(s) or library(ies) I represent securing access to all of the resources, including computers, training, software, maintenance, and electrical connections necessary to use the services purchased effectively.

24. ☒ I certify that I am authorized to submit this request on behalf of the above-named entities, that I have examined this request, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, all statements of fact contained herein are true.

25. Signature of authorized person: ☒

26. Date (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/20/2001

27. Printed name of authorized person: Elonia Norwood

28. Title or position of authorized person: Exec Director of Info Tech Svcs

29. Telephone number of authorized person: (816) 418 - 7103 ext.

[New Search](#)

[Return To Search Results](#)

E-Rate Funding Commitments**State: MO Funding Year: 2002**

Applicant	ST LOUIS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT					
Service	Address	City	Zip	\$ Amount	Wave	Discount (%)
INTERNAL CONNECTIONS	801 N 11TH STREET	SAINT LOUIS	63101	\$4,231,643.07	26	86
INTERNAL CONNECTIONS	801 N 11TH STREET	SAINT LOUIS	63101	\$3,729,213.00	21	90
TELCOMM SERVICES	801 N 11TH STREET	SAINT LOUIS	63101	\$2,969,720.87	21	86

Sum**\$10,930,576.94****Total****\$10,930,576.94**[Return to Automated Search of Commitments](#)

Click here to access the Automated Search of Commitments FAQs Get Help!

FCC Form

Approval by OMB
3060-0806**470**

Schools and Libraries Universal Service Description of Services Requested and Certification Form

Estimated Average Burden Hours Per Response: 5.0 hours

This form is designed to help you describe the eligible telecommunications-related services you seek so that this data can be posted on the Fund Administrator website and interested service providers can identify you as a potential customer and compete to serve you.

Please read instructions before completing.

(To be completed by entity that will negotiate with providers.)

Block 1: Applicant Address and Identifications

(School, library, or consortium desiring Universal Service funding.)

Form 470 Application Number: 788860000378260

Applicant's Form Identifier: MOSLPS.47001.2002

Application Status: CERTIFIED

Posting Date: 11/16/2001

Allowable Contract Date: 12/14/2001

Certification Received Date: 11/19/2001

1. Name of Applicant:

ST LOUIS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

2. Funding Year:

07/01/2002 - 06/30/2003

3. Your Entity Number

136902

4. Applicant's Street Address, P.O.Box, or Route Number**a. Street**

801 N 11th STREET

City

SAINT LOUIS

State

MO

Zip Code 5Digit

63101

Zip Code 4Digit**b. Telephone number**

ext.

(314) 345- 2250

c. Fax number

(314) 345- 2663

d. E-mail Address

peter.mcgehee@slps.org

5. Type Of Applicant (Check only one box)

☐ Library (including library system, library branch, or library consortium applying as a library)

☐ Individual School (individual public or non-public school)

☒ School District (LEA; public or non-public [e.g., diocesan] local district representing multiple schools)

☐ Consortium (intermediate service agencies, states, state networks, special consortia)

6a. Contact Person's Name: Peter McGehee

6b. Street Address, P.O.Box, or Route Number (if different from Item 4)			
<input type="radio"/> 801 N 11th STREET			
City	State	Zip Code 5Digit	Zip Code 4Digit
SAINT LOUIS	MO	63101	
<input checked="" type="radio"/> 6c. Telephone Number (10 digits + ext.) (314) 345- 2250			
<input type="radio"/> 6d. Fax Number (10 digits) (314) 345- 2664			
<input type="radio"/> 6e. E-mail Address (50 characters max.) peter.mcgehee@slps.org			

Block 2: Summary Description of Needs or Services Requested

7 This Form 470 describes (check all that apply):
a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tariffed services - telecommunications services, purchased at regulated prices, for which the applicant has no signed, written contract. A new Form 470 must be filed for tariffed services for each funding year.
b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Month-to-month services for which the applicant has no signed, written contract. A new Form 470 must be filed for these services for each funding year.
c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services for which a new written contract is sought for the funding year in Item 2.
d. <input type="checkbox"/> A multi-year contract signed on or before 7/10/97 but for which no Form 470 has been filed in a previous program year.
NOTE: Services that are covered by a <u>qualified contract</u> for all or part of the funding year in Item 2 do NOT require filing of Form 470. A qualified contract is a signed, written contract executed pursuant to posting a Form 470 in a previous program year OR a contract signed on/before 7/10/97 and reported on a Form 470 in a previous year as an existing contract.

8 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telecommunications Services
<i>Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?</i>
a <input type="radio"/> YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at or via <input type="checkbox"/> the Contact Person in Item 6 or <input type="checkbox"/> the contact listed in Item 11.
b <input checked="" type="radio"/> NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.
If you answered NO, you must list below the Telecommunications Services you seek. Specify each service or function (e.g., local voice service) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., 20 existing lines plus 10 new ones). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Telecommunications Services, and remember that only common carrier telecommunications companies can provide these services under the universal service support mechanism. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
Local and Long Distance Svcs	113 Schools plus Admin
Cellular and Paging Svcs	113 Schools plus Admin
Data Svcs (DSL, F/R, ATM)	113 Schools plus Admin
High Bandwidth Svcs (T1, OC3 etc)	113 Schools plus Admin
On Premise equip for End to End Svcs	Selected Schools plus Admin
Distance Learning	Selected Schools plus Admin
Video and other svcs	Selected Schools plus Admin
Wireless WAN	Selected Schools plus Admin

9 ☒ Internet Access**Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?**

a ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at
or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.

b ☒ NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Internet Access Services you seek. Specify each service or function (e.g., monthly Internet service) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., for 500 users). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Internet Access Services. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
High Speed Access	100+ Schools plus Admin
Dial up, DSL, Wireless and Cable Access	As needed to support eligible entities
WAN Services	Selected Schools

10 ☒ Internal Connections**Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?**

a ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at
or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.

b ☒ NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Internal Connections Services you seek. Specify each service or function (e.g., local area network) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., connecting 10 rooms and 300 computers at 56Kbps or better). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Internal Connections Services. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
Internal and Outside Cabling	100+ Schools plus Admin
Network Electronics (LAN/WAN)	100+ Schools plus Admin
Telephone Systems (including VOIP)	Selected Schools plus Admin
File & Other Servers	100+ Schools plus Admin
Maintenance Services	100+ Schools plus Admin
Wireless LAN	Selected Schools plus Admin
Video and other eligible services	Selected Schools plus Admin
Eligible Applications Software	100+ Schools plus Admin
Eligible Professional Services	Selected Schools plus Admin
UPS and other eligible hardware	Selected Schools plus Admin

11 (Optional) Please name the person on your staff or project who can provide additional technical details or answer specific questions from service providers about the services you are seeking. This need not be the contact person listed in Item 6 nor the signer of this form.

Name:	Title:
Peter Mudd	Asst. Dir of Technology Services

Telephone number (10 digits + ext.)
(314) 345 - 2444

Fax number

(314) 345 - 2663

E-mail Address (50 characters max.)

peter.mudd@slps.org

12. ☐ Check here if there are any restrictions imposed by state or local laws or regulations on how or when providers may contact you or on other bidding procedures. Please describe below any such restrictions or procedures, and/or give Web address where they are posted.

13. (Optional) Purchases in future years: If you have plans to purchase additional services in future years, or expect to seek new contracts for existing services, summarize below (including the likely time-frames).

Block 3: Technology Assessment

14. ☐ **Basic telephone service only:** If your application is for basic local and long distance voice telephone service only, check this box and skip to Item 16.

15. Although the following services and facilities are ineligible for support, they are usually necessary to make effective use of the eligible services requested in this application. Unless you indicated in Item 14 that your application is ONLY for basic telephone service, you must check at least one box in (a) through (e). You may provide details for purchases being sought.

a. Desktop communications software: Software required ☒ has been purchased; and/or ☒ is being sought.

b. Electrical systems: ☒ adequate electrical capacity is in place or has already been arranged; and/or ☒ upgrading for additional electrical capacity is being sought.

c. Computers: a sufficient quantity of computers ☒ has been purchased; and/or ☒ is being sought.

d. Computer hardware maintenance: adequate arrangements ☒ have been made; and/or ☒ are being sought.

e. Staff development: ☒ all staff have had an appropriate level of training or additional training has already been scheduled; and/or ☒ training is being sought.

f. Additional details: Use this space to provide additional details to help providers to identify the services you desire.

Block 4: Recipients of Service**16. Eligible Entities That Will Receive Service:**

Check the ONE choice that best describes this application and the eligible entities that will receive the services described in this application.

You must select a state if (b) or (c) is selected: **MO**

a. ☐ **Individual school or single-site library:** Check here, and enter the billed entity in Item 17.

b. ☐ **Statewide application (check all that apply):**

- ☐ All public schools/districts in the state:
☐ All non-public schools in the state:
☐ All libraries in the state:

If your statewide application includes INELIGIBLE entities, check here. ☐ If checked, complete Item 18.

c. ☒ School district, library system, or consortium application to serve multiple eligible sites:

Number of eligible sites	115
<i>For these eligible sites, please provide the following</i>	
Area Codes (list each unique area code)	Prefixes associated with each area code (first 3 digits of phone number) separate with commas, leave no spaces
314	231, 241, 261, 345, 352, 353, 361, 367, 371, 381
If your application includes INELIGIBLE entities, check here. <input type="checkbox"/> If checked, complete Item 18.	

17. Billed Entities

Entity Name	Entity Number
ST LOUIS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT	136902

18. Ineligible Entities

Ineligible Participating Entity	Entity Number	Area Code	Prefix
---------------------------------	---------------	-----------	--------

Block 5: Certification

19. The applicant includes:(Check one or both)

- a. ☒ schools under the statutory definitions of elementary and secondary schools found in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, 20 U.S.C. Secs. 8801(14) and (25), that do not operate as for-profit businesses, and do not have endowments exceeding \$50 million; and/or
- b. ☐ libraries or library consortia eligible for assistance from a State library administrative agency under the Library Services and Technology Act of 1996 that do not operate as for-profit businesses and whose budgets are completely separate from any school (including, but not limited to) elementary and secondary schools, colleges and universities.

20. All of the individual schools, libraries, and library consortia receiving services under this application are covered by:

- a. ☐ individual technology plans for using the services requested in the application
- b. ☒ higher-level technology plans for using the services requested in the application
- c. ☐ no technology plan needed; application requests basic local and long distance telephone service only.

21. Status of technology plans (if representing multiple entities with mixed technology plan status, check both a and b):

- a. ☒ technology plan(s) has/have been approved by a state or other authorized body.
- b. ☐ technology plan(s) will be approved by a state or other authorized body.
- c. ☐ no technology plan needed; application requests basic local and long distance telephone service only. .

22. ☒ I certify that the services the applicant purchases at discounts provided by 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254 will be used solely for educational purposes and will not be sold, resold, or transferred in consideration for money or any other thing of value.

23. ☒ I recognize that support under this support mechanism is conditional upon the school(s) or library(ies) I represent securing access to all of the resources, including computers, training, software, maintenance, and electrical connections necessary to use the services purchased effectively.

24. ☒ I certify that I am authorized to submit this request on behalf of the above-named entities, that I have examined this request, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, all statements of fact contained herein are true.

25. Signature of authorized person: ☒

26. Date (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/16/2001

27. Printed name of authorized person: Peter McGehee

28. Title or position of authorized person: Exec Dir Technology Services

29. Telephone number of authorized person: (314) 345 - 2250 ext.

[New Search](#)

[Return To Search Results](#)

E-Rate Funding Commitments

State: TX Funding Year: 2002

Applicant	HOUSTON INDEP SCHOOL DISTRICT					
<i>Service</i>	<i>Address</i>	<i>City</i>	<i>Zip</i>	<i>\$ Amount</i>	<i>Wave</i>	<i>Discount (%)</i>
INTERNAL CONNECTIONS	3830 RICHMOND AVE	HOUSTON	77027	\$206,865.05	26	83
INTERNAL CONNECTIONS	3830 RICHMOND AVE	HOUSTON	77027	\$41,750,108.16	17	90
INTERNET ACCESS	3830 RICHMOND AVE	HOUSTON	77027	\$494,523.96	17	83
INTERNET ACCESS	3830 RICHMOND AVE	HOUSTON	77027	\$1,566,015.14	17	84
TELCOMM SERVICES	3830 RICHMOND AVE	HOUSTON	77027	\$61,722.12	2	83
TELCOMM SERVICES	3830 RICHMOND AVE	HOUSTON	77027	\$4,738,174.19	17	83

Sum **\$48,817,408.62**

Total **\$48,817,408.62**

[Return to Automated Search of Commitments](#)

[Click here](#) to access the Automated Search of Commitments
FAQs

[Get Help!](#)

FCC Form

Approval by OMB
3060-0806**470**

Schools and Libraries Universal Service Description of Services Requested and Certification Form

Estimated Average Burden Hours Per Response: 5.0 hours

This form is designed to help you describe the eligible telecommunications-related services you seek so that this data can be posted on the Fund Administrator website and interested service providers can identify you as a potential customer and compete to serve you.

Please read instructions before completing.

(To be completed by entity that will negotiate with providers.)

Block 1: Applicant Address and Identifications

(School, library, or consortium desiring Universal Service funding.)

Form 470 Application Number: 528460000367226

Applicant's Form Identifier: 470-2002-1

Application Status: CERTIFIED

Posting Date: 09/24/2001

Allowable Contract Date: 10/22/2001

Certification Received Date: 10/10/2001

1. Name of Applicant:

HOUSTON INDEP SCHOOL DISTRICT

2. Funding Year:

07/01/2002 - 06/30/2003

3. Your Entity Number

141223

4. Applicant's Street Address, P.O.Box, or Route Number**a. Street**

3830 RICHMOND AVE

City

HOUSTON

State

TX

Zip Code 5Digit

77027

Zip Code 4Digit

5802

b. Telephone number

ext.

(713) 892- 6000

C. Fax number

(713) 892- 6749

d. E-mail Address**5. Type Of Applicant (Check only one box)**

☐ Library (including library system, library branch, or library consortium applying as a library)

☐ Individual School (individual public or non-public school)

☒ School District (LEA; public or non-public[e.g., diocesan] local district representing multiple schools)

☐ Consortium (intermediate service agencies, states, state networks, special consortia)

6a. Contact Person's Name: Jill Duncan**6b. Street Address, P.O.Box, or Route Number (if different from Item 4)**

☐ 3830 RICHMOND AVE

City HOUSTON	State TX	Zip Code 5Digit 77027	Zip Code 4Digit 5802
<input type="radio"/> 6c. Telephone Number (10 digits + ext.) (713) 892- 6222			
<input type="radio"/> 6d. Fax Number (10 digits) (713) 892- 6749			
<input checked="" type="radio"/> 6e. E-mail Address (50 characters max.) jduncan@houstonisd.org			

Block 2: Summary Description of Needs or Services Requested

7 This Form 470 describes (check all that apply):

- a. ☒ Tariffed services - telecommunications services, purchased at regulated prices, for which the applicant has no signed, written contract. A new Form 470 must be filed for tariffed services for each funding year.
- b. ☒ Month-to-month services for which the applicant has no signed, written contract. A new Form 470 must be filed for these services for each funding year.
- c. ☒ Services for which a new written contract is sought for the funding year in Item 2.
- d. ☐ A multi-year contract signed on or before 7/10/97 but for which no Form 470 has been filed in a previous program year.

NOTE: Services that are covered by a qualified contract for all or part of the funding year in Item 2 do NOT require filing of Form 470. A qualified contract is a signed, written contract executed pursuant to posting a Form 470 in a previous program year OR a contract signed on/before 7/10/97 and reported on a Form 470 in a previous year as an existing contract.

8 ☒ Telecommunications Services

Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?

- a. ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at _____ or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.
- b. ☒ NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Telecommunications Services you seek. Specify each service or function (e.g., local voice service) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., 20 existing lines plus 10 new ones). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Telecommunications Services, and remember that only common carrier telecommunications companies can provide these services under the universal service support mechanism. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
basic telephone service (POTS, Centrex)	for 350 buildings
long-distance telephone service	for 350 buildings
high bandwidth service (56kb/s, ISDN, DSL, frame relay, fractional T-1, DS-1, OC-3, ATM, satellite, MAN, WAN, LAN interconnect)	for 350 buildings
wireless service (cellular, PCS, paging, LAN, WAN)	for 350 buildings
video service, interactive TV, distance learning	for 350 buildings
maintenance/installation (inside wire maintenance)	for 350 buildings
homework hotline service	for 350 buildings

9 ☒ Internet Access**Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?**

a ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at
or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.

b ☒ NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Internet Access Services you seek. Specify each service or function (e.g., monthly Internet service) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., for 500 users). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Internet Access Services. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
Internet access	for 350 buildings
wireless service (LAN, WAN)	for 350 buildings
high bandwidth service (56kb/s, ISDN, DSL, frame relay, fractional T-1, DS-1, DS-3, OC-3, ATM, satellite, MAN, WAN, LAN interconnect	for 350 buildings
maintenance and installation	for 350 buildings

10 ☒ Internal Connections**Do you have a Request for Proposal (RFP) that specifies the services you are seeking ?**

a ☐ YES, I have an RFP. Choose one of the following: It is available on the Web at
or via ☐ the Contact Person in Item 6 or ☐ the contact listed in Item 11.

b ☒ NO, I do not have an RFP for these services.

If you answered NO, you must list below the Internal Connections Services you seek. Specify each service or function (e.g., local area network) and quantity and/or capacity (e.g., connecting 10 rooms and 300 computers at 56Kbps or better). See the Eligible Services List at www.sl.universalservice.org for examples of eligible Internal Connections Services. Add additional lines if needed.

Service or Function:	Quantity and/or Capacity:
wiring (Cat3, Cat5, coax, fiber conduit, wiring accessories)	for 350 buildings
routers, servers, switches, hubs, and upgrades	for 350 buildings
PBX, KSU, ARS, console, components and upgrades	for 350 buildings
video CODEC, MCU, MPEG encoder, multimedia kit, PVBX, video group an desktop equipment, EMMI	for 350 buildings
maintenance/installation, on-site technical support, documentation	for 350 buildings
wireless (LAN, WAN)	for 350 buildings
video equipment (broadband amplifier, cable box and modem)	for 350 buildings
ATM equipment (edge device, EMMI)	for 350 buildings
hardware and upgrades for internal connections (CSU/DSU, antenna, DAT, line sharing device, media converter, modem, monitor, multiplexing, satellite dish, TA, terminal server, UPS, zip drive	for 350 buildings

internal connections components (backup power supply and batteries, cabinets, power strips, circuit card, ethernet card, graphics card, hard disk array controller, RAID, MAU, NIC, SNMP, module)	for 350 buildings
operational software and upgrades, e-mail software	for 350 buildings

11 (Optional) Please name the person on your staff or project who can provide additional technical details or answer specific questions from service providers about the services you are seeking. This need not be the contact person listed in Item 6 nor the signer of this form.

Name: Steve Kim	Title: Networking Director
---------------------------	--------------------------------------

Telephone number (10 digits + ext.)
(713) 892 - 6225

Fax number
() -

E-mail Address (50 characters max.)

12. ☐ Check here if there are any restrictions imposed by state or local laws or regulations on how or when providers may contact you or on other bidding procedures. Please describe below any such restrictions or procedures, and/or give Web address where they are posted.

13. (Optional) Purchases in future years: If you have plans to purchase additional services in future years, or expect to seek new contracts for existing services, summarize below (including the likely time-frames).

Block 3: Technology Assessment

14. ☐ **Basic telephone service only:** If your application is for basic local and long distance voice telephone service only, check this box and skip to Item 16.

15. Although the following services and facilities are ineligible for support, they are usually necessary to make effective use of the eligible services requested in this application. Unless you indicated in Item 14 that your application is ONLY for basic telephone service, you must check at least one box in (a) through (e). You may provide details for purchases being sought.

a. Desktop communications software: Software required ☒ has been purchased; and/or ☒ is being sought.

b. Electrical systems: ☒ adequate electrical capacity is in place or has already been arranged; and/or ☒ upgrading for additional electrical capacity is being sought.

c. Computers: a sufficient quantity of computers ☒ has been purchased; and/or ☒ is being sought.

d. Computer hardware maintenance: adequate arrangements ☒ have been made; and/or ☒ are being sought.

e. Staff development: ☒ all staff have had an appropriate level of training or additional training has already been scheduled; and/or ☒ training is being sought.

f. Additional details: Use this space to provide additional details to help providers to identify the services you desire.

Block 4: Recipients of Service

16. Eligible Entities That Will Receive Service:

Check the ONE choice that best describes this application and the eligible entities that will receive the services described in this application.

You must select a state if (b) or (c) is selected: **TX**

a. ☐ Individual school or single-site library: Check here, and enter the billed entity in Item 17.

b. ☐ Statewide application (check all that apply):

- ☐ All public schools/districts in the state:
☐ All non-public schools in the state:
☐ All libraries in the state:

If your statewide application includes INELIGIBLE entities, check here. ☐ If checked, complete Item 18.

c. ☒ School district, library system, or consortium application to serve multiple eligible sites:

Number of eligible sites	350
<i>For these eligible sites, please provide the following</i>	
Area Codes (list each unique area code)	Prefixes associated with each area code (first 3 digits of phone number) separate with commas, leave no spaces
281	368, 405
409	740
713	224, 226, 227, 260, 270, 271, 273, 295, 317, 334

If your application includes INELIGIBLE entities, check here. ☐ If checked, complete Item 18.

17. Billed Entities

Entity Name	Entity Number
HOUSTON INDEP SCHOOL DISTRICT	141223

18. Ineligible Entities

Ineligible Participating Entity	Entity Number	Area Code	Prefix
--	----------------------	------------------	---------------

Block 5: Certification

19. The applicant includes:(Check one or both)

- a. ☒ schools under the statutory definitions of elementary and secondary schools found in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, 20 U.S.C. Secs. 8801(14) and (25), that do not operate as for-profit businesses, and do not have endowments exceeding \$50 million; and/or
- b. ☐ libraries or library consortia eligible for assistance from a State library administrative agency under the Library Services and Technology Act of 1996 that do not operate as for-profit businesses and whose budgets are completely separate from any school (including, but not limited to) elementary and secondary schools, colleges and universities.

20. All of the individual schools, libraries, and library consortia receiving services under this application are covered by:

- a. ☒ individual technology plans for using the services requested in the application
- b. ☒ higher-level technology plans for using the services requested in the application
- c. ☐ no technology plan needed; application requests basic local and long distance telephone service only.

21. Status of technology plans (if representing multiple entities with mixed technology plan status, check both a and b):

- a. ☒ technology plan(s) has/have been approved by a state or other authorized body.
- b. ☒ technology plan(s) will be approved by a state or other authorized body.
- c. ☐ no technology plan needed; application requests basic local and long distance telephone service only. .

22. ☒ I certify that the services the applicant purchases at discounts provided by 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254 will be used solely for educational purposes and will not be sold, resold, or transferred in consideration for money or any other thing of value.

23. ☒ I recognize that support under this support mechanism is conditional upon the school(s) or library(ies) I represent securing access to all of the resources, including computers, training, software, maintenance, and electrical connections necessary to use the services purchased effectively.

24. ☒ I certify that I am authorized to submit this request on behalf of the above-named entities, that I have examined this request, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, all statements of fact contained herein are true.

25. Signature of authorized person: ☒

26. Date (mm/dd/yyyy): 10/09/2001

27. Printed name of authorized person: William Edwards

28. Title or position of authorized person: Assistant Superintendent

29. Telephone number of authorized person: (713) 892 - 6222 ext.

New Search

Return To Search Results